arXiv:1012.2724v3 [math.RT] 20 Apr 2012

BAR COMPLEXES AND EXTENSIONS OF CLASSICAL EXPONENTIAL FUNCTORS

ANTOINE TOUZÉ

Abstract. We compute Ext-groups between classical exponential functors (i.e. symmetric, exterior or divided powers) and their Frobenius twists. Our method relies on bar constructions, and bridges these Ext-groups with the homology of Eilenberg-Mac Lane Spaces.

Together with [T2], this article provides an alternative approach to classical Ext-computations [FS, FFSS, C1, C2] in the category of strict polynomial functors over fields. We also obtain significant Ext-computations for strict polynomial functors over the integers.

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, and let $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$ be the general linear group over $\Bbbk$. If $\Bbbk$ is not a field of characteristic zero, the rational representations of $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$ do not split as direct sums of simple representations. This gives birth [J, I, Chap 4] to extension groups measuring the various ways of pasting representations of $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$ together. Unfortunately, these extension groups are difficult to compute, even when one restricts to the most basic representations of $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$. In particular, the following problem is challenging.

Problem 1.1. Compute Exts between symmetric, exterior or divided powers of the standard representation $\Bbbk^n$ of $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$

The extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{GL_{n,\Bbbk}}(S^d(\Bbbk^n),\Lambda^d(\Bbbk^n))$ between symmetric and exterior powers of the standard representation were first explicitly computed (over a field $\Bbbk$) by Akin in [A]. He uses the Schur algebras $S(n,d)$ to perform this computation. Indeed, $S^d(\Bbbk^n)$ and $\Lambda^d(\Bbbk^n)$ are $S(n,d)$-modules and there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{GL_{n,\Bbbk}}(S^d(\Bbbk^n),\Lambda^d(\Bbbk^n))\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{S(n,d)}(S^d(\Bbbk^n),\Lambda^d(\Bbbk^n))\;.$$

The interest of this approach lies in the fact that computing Exts in the category of $S(n,d)$-modules is easier than computing them in the category of $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$-representations.

In this article, we work in the category $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ of strict polynomial functors introduced in [FS] rather than in the category of $S(n,d)$-modules. Indeed, the assigments (where V is a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module):

$$V\mapsto S^d(V)\;,\quad V\mapsto \Lambda^d(V)\;,\quad V\mapsto \Gamma^d(V)=(V^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}\;,$$

Date: April 23, 2012.

The author was partially supported by the ANR HGRT (Projet BLAN08-2 338236).

define strict polynomial functors over $\Bbbk$. Moreover, extensions in the category of strict polynomial functors compute extensions in $GL_{n,\Bbbk}$-representations when n is big enough, e.g. if $n \geq d$ there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{GL_{n,\Bbbk}}(S^d(\Bbbk^n), \Lambda^d(\Bbbk^n)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^d, \Lambda^d) \ .$$

We refer to symmetric powers S^d, exterior powers Λ^d and divided powers Γ^d as 'classical exponential functors'. Indeed, they are the most common graded functors X^* satisfying a satisfying an exponential formula, i.e. a graded isomorphism (see section 5 for more on exponential functors):

$$X^*(V \oplus W) \simeq X^*(V) \otimes X^*(W) \ .$$

Problem 1.1 translates as follows in the realm of strict polynomial functors.

Problem 1.2. Compute Exts in $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ between classical exponential functors.

Quite a bit is already known on this problem if $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic. In that case, these extension groups are computed in the innovative articles [FFSS] and [C2]. In fact, these authors do more. Motivated by links [FFSS] with the representation theory of the finite groups $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra, they compute all the extension groups of the form $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(X^{*\,(r)}, Y^{*\,(s)})$, where X^* and Y^* are classical exponential functors and $X^{*\,(r)}$ and $Y^{*\,(s)}$ denote the precomposition of X^* and Y^* by the Frobenius twist functors $I^{(r)}$ and $I^{(s)}$, for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $I^{(0)}$ is the identity functor, problem 1.2 corresponds to the case $r = s = 0$).

The main goal of this article is to provide new methods to compute the extension groups in $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ between classical exponential functors, and also between their twisted variants if $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic. Our methods differ from the methods used in [FFSS, C2], and in particular they allow computations over the integers. We obtain the following results.

(1) We get new independent proofs of all the computations performed in [FFSS] (the results are stated in theorems 14.1 and 14.2).
(2) We get new independent computations all the extension groups computed in [C2]. We explain why some results of [C2] seem false, and we correct them (the results are stated in theorems 14.3, 14.4 and 14.5, and comparison with [C2] is made in section 14.3).
(3) We also obtain completely new results, namely we compute extension groups between classical exponential functors over the ring $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Z}$ (in theorem 10.16 and 10.18).

Our methods rely heavily on bar constructions. We use them to prove a quite unexpected link with classical algebraic topology. Namely, we show that extension groups between classical exponential functors are nothing but the singular homology of some Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces under disguise. Then we elaborate on Cartan's computation [Car] of the homology of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces to get explicit results. On our way, we obtain the following computation, of independent and general interest.

(4) We compute in theorem 9.13 the homology of iterated bar constructions of the divided power algebra $\Gamma^*(V)$, over any field $\Bbbk$. (This was done only for *prime fields* by Cartan [Car]).

Finally, when $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic, we show that the extension groups between twisted exponential functors $X^{*\,(r)}$ and $Y^{*(r)}$ can be deduced from the extension groups between untwisted exponential functors X^*, Y^*. The latter fact is proved once again via bar complexes, together with the techniques developed in [T2].

Appart from the results above, we feel that many technical results proved in the course of the article might be useful tools for further Ext-computations (for example, the 'interchange property' (proposition 7.2) has a wider range of application than classical exponential functors).

1.1. **Structures on extension groups.**

Before undertaking computations, we need to clarify what we mean by 'computing extension groups'. So we now recall various structures (functoriality, products, coproducts…) which equip extension groups between classical exponential functors (S^d, Λ^d, Γ^d), or their Frobenius twists ($S^{d\,(r)}$, $\Lambda^{d\,(r)}$, $\Gamma^{d\,(r)}$), and which ones it is important to compute. The study of all these structures is the purpose of part 1 of this paper.

1.1.1. *Functoriality.* Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring and let V be a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module. If F is a strict polynomial functor, we denote by F^V the strict polynomial functor $U \mapsto F(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(V, U))$. Throughout the article, we denote by $\mathbb{E}(F, G)$ the graded strict polynomial functor whose values are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(F, G)(V) = \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F^V, G)\ .$$

We denote by $\mathbb{H}(F, G)$ the degree zero part of $\mathbb{E}(F, G)$, hence $\mathbb{H}(F, G)(V)$ is equal to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F^V, G)$. The graded functors $\mathbb{E}(F, G)$ are called parameterized extension groups (the parameter is the variable of the functor), and we introduce them in section 4.1.

In this article, we systematically study $\mathbb{E}(F, G)$ instead of the mere extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F, G)$. The main reason is that the functoriality reveals some hidden useful structures (see e.g. sections 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 below), and parameterized extension groups are not much more difficult to compute.

1.1.2. *Products and gradings.* If A^* is a graded algebra in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ (we call such an object 'a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra', see further details in section 3) and C^* is a graded coalgebra in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$, then the extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(C^*, A^*)$ are equipped with a convolution product described in [FFSS, section 1] or in section 4.2. This holds in particular if C^* and A^* are classical exponential functors (or their twisted variants when $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic).

Thus, if C^* and A^* are classical exponential functors, the extensions $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(C^*, A^*)$ form a trigraded algebra. Actually the trigrading is artificial in this case since $\mathrm{Ext}^*(C^d, A^e)$ is zero if $d \neq e$. Therefore we are actually interested in the *bigraded* algebras:

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0, d \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(C^d, A^d)\ .$$

Now recall that we systematically study parameterized extension groups. So we denote by $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$ the bigraded strict polynomial functor

$$\mathbb{E}(C,A) = \bigoplus_{i\ge 0, d\ge 0} \mathbb{E}^i(C^d, A^d)\ .$$

With parameterized extension groups, the bigrading becomes artificial. Indeed, the second grading (i.e. the exponent 'd' coming from the grading of C^* and A^*) is encoded by the functoriality[1]. Thus we only have to determine $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$ as a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra, with grading given by the Ext degrees.

1.1.3. *Coproducts and exponential property.* When the groud ring $\Bbbk$ is a field, and C^* and A^* are classical exponential functors (or their Frobenius twists), the extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(C^*, A^*)$ are also equipped with a coproduct [FFSS, section 1]. The coproducts are computed in [FFSS, C2].

We observe that the formula defining the coproduct works more generally for parameterized extension groups $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$. However, we shall prove in section 5 that these parameterized extensions groups actually have an exponential structure, i.e. they satisfy an exponential formula:

$$\mathbb{E}(C,A)(V\oplus W) \simeq \mathbb{E}(C,A)(V)\otimes \mathbb{E}(C,A)(W)\ .$$

The coproduct is determined by the exponential formula, and the exponential formula is in turn determined by the product on $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$. Thus, if we compute the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$, we can easily deduce the coproduct from the product (see remark 5.9). In particular, the coproduct contains no interesting information on extension groups. That's why we limit ourselves to computing $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$ as $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras in this article.

1.2. **Extensions between classical exponential functors.** Let us first indicate what is easy to prove about extensions between the classical exponential functors S, Γ and Λ over a commutative ring $\Bbbk$.

- The $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma, X)$, for $X=\Gamma,\Lambda$ or S are very easy to compute. Indeed Γ^{dV} is projective in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ for all $d\ge 0$ and the Yoneda lemma (see section 2.4) yields an isomorphism:
$$\bigoplus_{i\ge 0, d\ge 0} \mathbb{E}^i(\Gamma^d, X^d) = \bigoplus_{d\ge 0}\mathbb{E}^0(\Gamma^d, X^d) \simeq \bigoplus_{d\ge 0} X^d\ .$$
- Now Kuhn duality $\mathbb{E}^*(X^d, S^d)\simeq \mathbb{E}^*(\Gamma^d, X^{d\,\sharp})$ gives for free isomorphisms:
$$\bigoplus_{i\ge 0, d\ge 0} \mathbb{E}^i(X^d, S^d) = \bigoplus_{d\ge 0}\mathbb{E}^0(X^d, S^d) \simeq \bigoplus_{d\ge 0} X^{d\,\sharp}\ .$$
(Recall that $\Lambda^{d\,\sharp}=\Lambda^d$ and $S^{d\,\sharp}=\Gamma^d$.)
- Finally, it is well known (and we shall give a proof in remark 7.5) that $\mathbb{E}^i(\Lambda^d,\Lambda^d)=0$ for i positive. An elementary computation gives an isomorphism:
$$\bigoplus_{i\ge 0, d\ge 0} \mathbb{E}^i(\Lambda^d, \Lambda^d) = \bigoplus_{d\ge 0}\mathbb{E}^0(\Lambda^d, \Lambda^d) \simeq \bigoplus_{d\ge 0} \Gamma^d\ .$$

---

[1] We call 'weight' the grading implicitly encoded by functoriality. See section 3 and definition 4.6.

So, the only non-trivial extension groups to be computed are:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Lambda,\Gamma)\simeq\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)\;.$$

Akin computed the dimensions of $\mathbb{E}^i(\Lambda^d,\Gamma^d)(\Bbbk)=\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(\Lambda^d,\Gamma^d)$ (when $\Bbbk$ is a field). Recently, the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda,\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ were computed in [C2], but some of the results seem false, cf. section 14.3.

The purpose of part 2 of the paper is to compute the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ over commutative rings $\Bbbk$. Our method bridges functor homology with classical algebraic topology computations. Indeed, we show that the algebras $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\Bbbk^m)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)(\Bbbk^m)$ are (up to regrading) equal to the singular homology algebra (with coefficients in $\Bbbk$) of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces $K(\mathbb{Z}^m,3)$ and $K(\mathbb{Z}^m,4)$. The key result is proposition 7.2, which is a wide generalization of the main theorem of [A].

The purpose of part 3 is to convert this link with algebraic topology into explicit Ext computations. For this, we elaborate on Cartan's celebrated results [Car] to obtain in section 9 explicit results over fields of prime characteristic. Finally, we give explicit computations over $\Bbbk=\mathbb{Z}$ in section 10 (still based on the computations of [Car]).

1.3. **Extensions between twisted classical exponential functors.** Assume now that $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic p. We are interested in the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$, for $r,s\ge 0$ and when X and Y are one of the classical exponential functors S, Λ or Γ. These algebras were computed in [FFSS] and [C2]. To be more specific, let us order the classical exponential functors from the most projective one to the most injective one: $\Gamma^d<\Lambda^d<S^d$. When $X\le Y$, the algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})(\Bbbk)$ were computed in [FFSS], using hypercohomology spectral sequences associated to De Rham complexes. When $X>Y$ they were computed in [C2] (but once again with mistakes, see section 14.3) with the same techniques, together with 'Koszul duality'.

The purpose of part 4 is to provide an independent approach to compute the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$. Namely, we prove that $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$ can be recovered from the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$ in a simple way. Part 4 can be thought of as a sequel to [T2] and some theorems of [T2] are actually used in section 12, while the other sections of part 4 are dedicated to complementary techniques. In particular, the present article together with [T2] provide a complete alternative approach to classical computations in the category of strict polynomial functors over a field [FS, FFSS, C1, C2].

1.4. **Some conventions used in the article.** In the article, we work over a commutative base ring $\Bbbk$. Otherwise explicitly stated, tensor products are taken over $\Bbbk$. In particular, if V is a graded $\Bbbk$-module, the symbols $S(V)$, $\Lambda(V)$ and $\Gamma(V)$ refer to the symmetric, the exterior and the divided power algebras over $\Bbbk$ (i.e. $S(V)=S_\Bbbk(V)$).

Otherwise explicitely stated, the word 'degree' means 'homological degree'. Homological degrees are denoted by indices, i.e. if M is a complex, M_i denotes the direct summand of degree i, and differentials lower the degree by one. Sometimes, cohomological degrees appear: they are denoted by exponents like in $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$. Such cohomological degrees can be converted into homological degrees by the usual formula: $M^i = M_{-i}$. If M is an ungraded object, we denote by $M[i]$ the graded object with $M[i]_j = M$ if $i = j$ and zero if $i \neq j$. When cohomological degrees naturally appear in a formula, we use the notation $M\langle i\rangle$ to denote a copy of M placed in cohomological degree i (thus $M\langle i\rangle = M[-i]$).

It is well known that the abelian category $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ of strict polynomial functors decomposes as a direct sum $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0}\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$. Elements of $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ are called homogeneous functors, and if $F \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$, the integer d is often called the 'degree' of the functor [FS]. To avoid confusion with homological degrees, we do not use this terminology, we rather call d the *weight* of the functor (cf section 2.2 below).

Contents

1. Introduction 1

Part 1. General structure results 6

2. Recollections of strict polynomial functors 7
3. A hierarchy of algebras 11
4. Structure of extension groups 14
5. The exponential property 19

Part 2. Extension groups between S, Λ, Γ, and bar constructions 22

6. Regrading functors 22
7. Bar constructions and extension groups 26

Part 3. Homology of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces 29

8. Strict polynomial structures on divided power algebras 31
9. Explicit computations over a field 34
10. Explicit computations over the integers 41

Part 4. Frobenius twists 48

11. Frobenius twists and bar constructions 48
12. The twisting spectral sequence and Troesch complexes 51
13. Splitting filtrations 53
14. Final results 56

References 61

Part 1. General structure results

In this part, we describe the framework and the notations for our computations. We first recall the basics of strict polynomial functors over an arbitrary commutative ring $\Bbbk$. Then we introduce the notion of *strict polynomial differential graded algebra*, which is central in the paper.

For a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module V and $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$, we denote by F^V the strict polynomial functor $U \mapsto F(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(V, U))$. The assignment $V \mapsto \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F^V, G)$ defines a graded strict polynomial functor, called parameterized extension groups and denoted by $\mathbb{E}(F, G)$. If C is a strict polynomial coalgebra and A is a strict polynomial graded algebra, we recall the convolution product, which makes $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ into a strict polynomial graded algebra.

Finally, we prove (under slight hypotheses) that when C and A are exponential functors, $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is also an exponential functor.

2. Recollections of strict polynomial functors

2.1. **Ordinary vs strict polynomial functors.** Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, and let $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ be the category of finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-modules, and $\Bbbk$-linear maps. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ the category of ordinary functors. The objects of $\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ are the functors $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk} \to \Bbbk$-mod and the morphisms of $\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ are the natural transformations. If $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, the $\Bbbk$-module $F(V)$ is endowed with a $\Bbbk$-linear action of the discrete group $GL(V) \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V)$, so we have an evaluation functor

$$\mathrm{ev}_V : \mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk} \to GL(V)\text{-mod} .$$

The category $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ of strict polynomial functors of bounded degree can be thought of as the analogue of $\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ in the framework of algebraic groups. Objects of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ are ordinary functors[2] endowed with an additional 'strict polynomial structure', and morphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ are the natural transformations compatible with this strict polynomial structure (we refer the reader to [FS] for precise definitions). If $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, the $\Bbbk$-module $F(V)$ is endowed with an action of the $\Bbbk$-group scheme GL_V [J, I 2.7], so we have an evaluation functor

$$\mathrm{ev}_V : \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} \to GL_V\text{-mod} .$$

By forgeting the strict polynomial structure, one gets a forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} \to \mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$. This functor can be seen as an analogue of the forgetful functor which assigns to a GL_V-representation the underlying $GL(V)$-module (the discrete group $GL(V)$ is the group of points of the group scheme GL_V). Indeed we have a commutative diagram of functors:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{U}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_V"] & \mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_V"] \\
GL_V\text{-mod} \arrow[r] & GL(V)\text{-mod}
\end{tikzcd} .$$

The behaviour of the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}$ is quite subtle in general. For example, it is usually not injective on objects (if $\Bbbk = \mathbb{F}_p$, the Frobenius twist

---

[2] Unlike [FS, SFB], we allow our strict polynomial functors to have values in arbitrary $\Bbbk$-modules. We make this cosmetic change to ensure that $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ is an abelian category, hence a complex of strict polynomial functors still has strict polynomial functors as homology groups. This change has no serious homological consequences. Indeed, let $\mathcal{P}'_{\Bbbk}$ denote the full subcategory of strict polynomial functors with values in $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ (this is the category used in [FS, SFB]). Then the embedding $\mathcal{P}'_{\Bbbk} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}'_{\Bbbk}}(F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F, G)$, cf. the proof of lemma 2.3.

$I^{(1)}$ and the identity functor I are not isomorphic in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$, but $\mathcal{U}I^{(1)} = \mathcal{U}I$). In this article, we shall only use the following elementary properties.

(i) The functor $\mathcal{U}$ is faithful (i.e. for all $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk})$, $\mathcal{U}(f) = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.)

(ii) The functor $\mathcal{U}$ reflects isomorphisms (i.e. for all $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk})$, $U(f)$ is an isomorphism if and only if f is.)

2.2. **Weights.** Let us recall basic results about the structure of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$. First, it is an abelian category. Second, each functor is equipped with a degree, which we call 'weight' in the article, to distinguish it from homological degrees. To be more specific, a functor F is homogeneous of weight $d \geq 0$ if and only if for all $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, $F(V)$ is a $\Bbbk$-module of weight d under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m = Z(GL_V)$ (the center of GL_V). Each functor splits as a finite direct sum of homogeneous strict polynomial functors, and there is no nontrivial morphism of strict polynomial functors between homogeneous functors of different weight. Thus, if $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ denote the full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ whose objects are the homogeneous functors of weight d, then $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ decomposes as the direct sum of abelian categories [FS, Prop 2.6]:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \; .$$

If $F \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$, then $F(\Bbbk^n)$ is actually a *polynomial* representation of $GL_{\Bbbk^n}$, or equivalently a module over the Schur algebra $S(n,d)$. If $n \geq d$, evaluation on $\Bbbk^n$ yields an equivalence of categories [FS, Thm 3.2]:

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\Bbbk^n} : \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S(n,d)\text{-mod} \; .$$

2.3. **Examples.** As basic examples of homogeneous strict polynomial functors of weight d we mention:

(i) the d-th tensor product $\otimes^d : V \mapsto V^{\otimes d}$,

(ii) the d-th exterior power $\Lambda^d : V \mapsto V^{\otimes d}$,

(iii) the d-th symmetric power $S^d : V \mapsto S^d(V) = (V^{\otimes d})_{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ (coinvariants under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$),

(iv) the d-th divided power $\Gamma^d : V \mapsto \Gamma^d(V) = (V^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ (invariants under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$). The reason for the name 'divided power' is given in section 8.

If $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteritic p, and $r \geq 0$, we also mention the following example of homogeneous strict polynomial functor of weight p^r:

(v) the Frobenius twist functor $I^{(r)} : V \mapsto V^{(r)} = \langle v^{p^r}, v \in V \rangle \subset S^{p^r}(V)$.

We can also perform operations on strict polynomial functors to build new examples from old ones. If F and G are strict polynomial functors, their tensor product $(F \otimes G)(V) = F(V) \otimes G(V)$ is canonically equipped with the structure of a strict polynomial functor. If we restrict our attention to homogeneous functors, tensor product induces a functor:

$$\otimes : \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \times \mathcal{P}_{e,\Bbbk} \to \mathcal{P}_{d+e,\Bbbk}.$$

We can also define the composition $G \circ F$ of a strict polynomial functor G by a strict polynomial functor F, provided F takes finitely generated projective values. Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}'_{d,\Bbbk}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ formed by the functors with values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$. Then composition yields a functor:

$$\circ : \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \times \mathcal{P}'_{e,\Bbbk} \to \mathcal{P}_{de,\Bbbk}.$$

In particular, we can consider precomposition by the homogeneous functors $I^{(r)}$ (of weight p^r), or by $V\otimes : W \mapsto V \otimes W$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(V,-) : W \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(V,W)$ (these functors have weight 1). Since such precompositions are very often used in the paper we use the following notation.

Notation 2.1. For all $F \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$, we use the following notations:

$$F^{(r)} = F \circ I^{(r)}\ , \quad F_V := F \circ (V\otimes)\ , \quad F^V := F \circ \mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(V,-)\ .$$

The functors F_V and F^V are called 'parameterized functors' (the parameter is the finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module V).

Finally, it is also possible to define new strict polynomial functors as kernels, or cokernels of maps between old ones. For this reason, we recall the following elementary computations of Hom-groups in $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$.

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(\otimes^d, \otimes^d)$ is a free $\Bbbk$-module with basis the permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ (identified with the natural transformation of $\otimes^d$ which permutes the factors of the tensor product).

(ii) If $X = S, \Lambda$ or Γ, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(X^d \otimes X^e, X^{d+e})$ is a rank one free $\Bbbk$-module. If $X = S$ or Λ, it is generated by the unique morphism fitting into diagram $(D1)$ below. For $X = \Gamma$, it is generated by the unique morphism fitting in diagram $(D2)$, where $\mathrm{S}(d,e) \subset \mathfrak{S}_{d+e}$ is the set of all (d,e)-shuffles. We call this generator the canonical map between $X^d \otimes X^e$ and X^{d+e} (this canonical map is the usual multiplication of the algebra X^*).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\otimes^d) \otimes (\otimes^e) \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, two heads] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(D1)"] & \otimes^{d+e} \arrow[d, two heads] \\
X^d \otimes X^e \arrow[r, dashed, "\exists!"'] & X^{d+e}
\end{tikzcd}\ , \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
(\otimes^d) \otimes (\otimes^e) \arrow[r, "\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{S}(d,e)} \sigma"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(D2)"] & \otimes^{d+e} \\
\Gamma^d \otimes \Gamma^e \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, dashed, "\exists!"'] & \Gamma^{d+e} \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}\ .$$

(iii) Similarly, if $X = S, \Lambda$ or Γ, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(X^{d+e}, X^d \otimes X^e)$ is a rank one free $\Bbbk$-module. It is generated by a canonical map fitting into diagrams of the same type as $(D1)$ and $(D2)$ (this canonical map is the usual coproduct of the coalgebra X^*).

For example, if $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic p, $I^{(1)}$ is the kernel of the canonical map $S^p \to S^{p-1} \otimes S^1$.

2.4. **Homological algebra in $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$.** The functor $\Gamma^{d,V}$, for $d \geq 0$ satisfies the following isomorphism, called 'Yoneda isomorphism' [FS, Thm 2.10]:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(\Gamma^{d,V}, F) \simeq F(V)\ .$$

In particular, the functors $\Gamma^{d,V}$ are projective objects of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$. Actually, one can prove that if $n \geq d$, the canonical morphism

$$F(\Bbbk^n) \otimes \Gamma^{d,\Bbbk^n} \to F$$

is an epimorphism, hence $\Gamma^{d,\Bbbk^n}$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$. We also obtain the following result on Hom-groups.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ be functors with finitely generated projective values. If $\Bbbk$ is a Dedekind ring (e.g. a PID), then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ is a finitely generated and projective $\Bbbk$-module. If $\Bbbk$ is a noetherian ring, the $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ are finitely generated $\Bbbk$-modules. If $\Bbbk$ is a field, the $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ are finite dimensional vector spaces.*

Proof. We can assume that F and G are homogeneous of weight d. The result is true for $F = \Gamma^{d,V}$ by the Yoneda isomorphism. In general, F has a resolution by a finite direct sum of copies of $\Gamma^{d,\Bbbk^n}$, hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ is a submodule of a finite direct sum of copies of $G(\Bbbk^n)$, and for $i > 0$, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of copies of $G(\Bbbk^n)$. Since $G(\Bbbk^n)$ is finitely generated and projective, the result follows from the assumption on $\Bbbk$. □

Since $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ has enough projectives, we can compute extensions groups. Actually, if n is greater than the weight of F and G, and if F and G take values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, evaluation on $\Bbbk^n$ induces an isomorphism (see [FS, Cor 3.13], or [T1, Thm 3.10] over an arbitrary ring):

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{GL_{\Bbbk^n}}(F(\Bbbk^n), G(\Bbbk^n)) \ .$$

For $F \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$, the dual functor $F^\sharp : V \mapsto F(V^\vee)^\vee$ (where $^\vee$ denotes the $\Bbbk$-linear dual: $M^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(M, \Bbbk)$ is canonically made into a strict polynomial functor. This induces a functor, called Kuhn duality in [FS]:

$$^\sharp : \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk \to \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$$

If F and G take values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(G^\sharp, F^\sharp)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$. Assume that F and G takes finitely generated projective values. Duality induces an isomorphism (natural in F,G)*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(G^\sharp, F^\sharp) \ .$$

Moreover G admits a coresolution by finite direct sums of copies of functors of the form S^d_V, and if J_G is such a resolution, $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G)$ equals the homology of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F, J_G)$.

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ whose objects are the strict polynomial functors with values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$. This is an exact category, with projective objects $\Gamma^{d,V}$, cf. [SFB, Section 2]. Hence a projective resolution in $\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk$ remains a projective resolution if we view it in $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$, so inclusion $\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ induces an isomorphism on the level of Exts. Moreover, the duality functor becomes an equivalence of categories when restricted to $\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk$ (it is self-inverse). So the first assertion of lemma 2.3 follows from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F,G) \arrow[r, "\sharp"] & \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(G^\sharp, F^\sharp) \ . \\
\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk}(F,G) \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "\sharp", "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}'_\Bbbk}(G^\sharp, F^\sharp) \arrow[u, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The second assertion of lemma 2.3 follows from the fact that category $\mathcal{P}'_{\Bbbk}$ has enough injectives, and an injective cogenerator is provided by the functors S^d_V, cf. [SFB, Section 2]. □

2.5. **Strict polynomial functors as genuine functors.** Strict polynomial functors are functors from the category $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ of finitely generated projective spaces to $\Bbbk$-mod, equipped with an additional strict polynomial structure. In fact, they can equivalently be described as genuine functors.

For $d \geq 1$, let $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ be the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ but whose morphisms sets are $\mathfrak{S}_d$-equivariant maps: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,W) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}(V^{\otimes d}, W^{\otimes d})$, composition is composition of $\mathfrak{S}_d$-equivariant maps. The notation '$\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$' is justified by the isomorphism $\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(V,W)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,W)$, induced by the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}(V^{\otimes d}, W^{\otimes d}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(V^{\otimes d}, W^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d} \simeq (\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(V,W)^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}\ .$$

The category $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ of homogeneous strict polynomial functors of weight d identifies with the category of $\Bbbk$-linear functors from $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ to $\Bbbk$-mod, see e.g. [P].

Some properties of strict polynomial functors becomes clearer with this presentation. For example, $\Gamma^{d\,V} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,-)$ is clearly projective and the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(\Gamma^{d,V}, F) \simeq F(V)$ in [FS, Thm 2.10] is given by the Yoneda lemma. In this description, tensor products $\otimes : \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \times \mathcal{P}_{e,\Bbbk} \to \mathcal{P}_{d+e,\Bbbk}$ is induced by the functor $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk} \times \Gamma^e\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk} \to \Gamma^{d+e}\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ coming from the natural map $\Gamma^d \otimes \Gamma^e \to \Gamma^{d+e}$, composition $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk} \times \mathcal{P}'_{e,\Bbbk} \to \mathcal{P}_{de,\Bbbk}$ comes from the natural map $\Gamma^{de} \to \Gamma^d \circ \Gamma^e$, etc. We shall use this alternative description in section 4.1.

3. A HIERARCHY OF ALGEBRAS

In this article, the algebras considered are often endowed with an additional structure such as functoriality or an extra grading (called 'weight'). The categories of $\Bbbk$-algebras we will use are organized according to the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ev}_V"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{U}"] & \{\Bbbk\text{-wdg-alg}\} \arrow[d] \\
\{\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ev}_V"] & \{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}
\end{tikzcd} \qquad (\mathcal{H})$$

In this diagram, the arrows are functors induced by forgetting part of the structure. Thus, the category $\{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$ on the bottom right corner of the diagram is the one having the less structured objects. In this section, we review the definitions of the various categories appearing in the hierarchy diagram $(\mathcal{H})$. In all what follows, we use the *homological degree convention*: the degree is denoted as an index, and the differentials lower the degree by one.

3.1. **Differential graded algebras.** By $\{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$, we denote the category of differential graded algebras over the commutative base ring $\Bbbk$. The objects of this category are the differential graded $\Bbbk$-algebras. The morphisms are the $\Bbbk$-linear maps, which are multiplicative and which preserve the gradings.

If A is a differential graded algebra, the degree of a homogeneous element $x \in A$ is denoted by $|x|$. Recall that the tensor product $A \otimes B$ of two dg-algebras has product $(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|a'||b|} aa' \otimes bb'$ and differential $\partial(a \otimes b) = (\partial a) \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes (\partial b)$.

Graded $\Bbbk$-algebras are viewed as dg-algebras with trivial differential, and ungraded $\Bbbk$-algebras are viewed as dg-algebras concentrated in degree zero.

3.2. **Weighted differential graded algebras.** By $\{\Bbbk\text{-wdg-alg}\}$, we denote the category of weighted differential graded algebras over $\Bbbk$. The objects of this category are the weighted graded differential algebras over $\Bbbk$, that is, the dg-algebras A equipped with an extra nonnegative grading, called 'weight'. The weight of a homogeneous element $x \in A$ is denoted by $w(x)$ and the weights are required to satisfy:

$$w(xy) = w(x) + w(y)\,, \qquad w(\partial x) = w(x)\,.$$

Morphisms of wdg-algebras are $\Bbbk$-linear maps which preserve the gradings and the weights, which are multiplicative and which commute with the differentials.

The tensor product $A \otimes B$ of two wdg-algebras is defined by letting for all homogeneous elements a, b :

(i) $|a \otimes b| = |a| + |b|$, and $w(a \otimes b) = w(a) + w(b)$,
(ii) $(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|a'||b|} aa' \otimes bb'$,
(iii) $\partial(a \otimes b) = (\partial a) \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes (\partial b)$.

Observe that the weights do not contribute to the signs in the definition of tensor products. Thus, forgetting the weights yields a functor, which preserves the tensor products:

$$\{\Bbbk\text{-wdg-alg}\} \to \{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}\ .$$

3.3. **Functorial differential graded algebras.** We denote by $\{\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$ the category of functorial differential graded algebras. The objects of this category are the functors $A : \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk \to \{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$, and the morphisms are the natural transformations between such functors.

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$ be a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module. Evaluation on V yields a forgetful functor (we forget the naturality with respect to V):

$$\{\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_V} \{\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}.$$

The tensor product of two $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebras is defined in the target category, so that the forgetful functor commutes with tensor products.

3.4. **Strict polynomial differential graded algebras.** We denote by $\{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$ the category of strict polynomial differential graded algebras. This is a category of highly structured algebras. To be more specific, we make the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring. A strict polynomial differential graded algebra ($\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra) A is a collection of strict polynomial functors $\{A_{i,d}\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \geq 0$, equipped with morphisms of strict polynomial functors $\eta : \Bbbk \to A_{0,0}$, $m : A_{i,d} \otimes A_{j,e} \to A_{i+j,d+e}$, and $\partial : A_{i,d} \to A_{i-1,d}$ satifying the following properties.

(i) For all $d \geq 0$, the strict polynomial functor $A_{i,d}$ is homogeneous of weight d.

(ii) For all $V \in \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, the unit η, the multiplication m and the differential ∂ make the $\Bbbk$-module $A(V) = \bigoplus_{i,d} A_{i,d}(V)$ into a weighted differential graded algebra.

The functor $A_{i,d}$ will be refered to as the homogeneous part of degree i and weight d of A.

The $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras are the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebras with trivial differential, and the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebras are the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebras concentrated in degree 0. We have the following fundamental examples of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras.

- We denote by S the symmetric algebra. That is, S is the strict polynomial algebra whose homogeneous part of degree 0 and weight d equals S^d, with multiplication defined by the canonical maps $S^d \otimes S^e \to S^{d+e}$. Similarly, we denote by Λ the exterior algebra and by Γ the divided power algebra (the reason for the name 'divided power algebra' is given in section 8).
- We denote by $S[i]$ the symmetric algebra over generators of homological degree i. That is, $S[i]$ is the strict polynomial graded algebra whose homogeneous part of degree di and weight d equals S^d, with multiplication defined by the canonical maps $S^d \otimes S^e \to S^{d+e}$. (In particular $S[0] = S$.) We define $\Lambda[i]$ and $\Gamma[i]$ similarly.
- If $\Bbbk$ is a field of positive characteristic, if $X = S, \Lambda$ or Γ and if r is a positive integer, we denote by $X[i]^{(r)}$ the precomposition of $X[i]$ by the r-th Frobenius twist functor. (Thus, its homogeneous part of degree di and weight dp^r equals $X^{d\,(r)}$).
- More generally, if F is a graded strict polynomial functor with values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, we denote by $S(F)$ the symmetric algebra on F. It is formed by the graded strict polynomial functors $S^d \circ F$ (with degrees defined as usual), and the multiplication is given by evaluating the canonical map $S^d \otimes S^e \to S^{d+e}$ on F. We define the exterior algebra $\Lambda(F)$ over F, and the divided power algebra $\Gamma(F)$ over F similarly.

Definition 3.2. A morphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebras $f : A \to B$ is a collection of morphisms of strict polynomial functors $f_{i,d} : A_{i,d} \to B_{i,d}$ which commute with the multiplications and the differentials of A and B.

We define the tensor product $A \otimes B$ of two $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebras by formulas similar to the ones for the wdg-algebras (i.e. the degrees introduce Koszul signs in the definition of the product and the differentials, but the weights don't introduce such Koszul signs). The forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk \to \mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$ induces a functor:

$$\{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} \{\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$$

which commutes with tensor products. If $V\in\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$ is a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module, evaluation on V induces a forgetful functor:

$$\{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_V}\{\Bbbk\text{-wdg-alg}\},$$

which also commutes with tensor products.

It should be noted that if A is a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra, the data of the collection of the $A(V)\in\{\Bbbk\text{-wdg-alg}\}$, for all $V\in\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, and of the functorial algebra $\mathcal{U}A\in\{\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk\text{-dg-alg}\}$ is usually not sufficient to determine the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra structure of A as the following counter-example shows it.

Counter-example 3.3. Let $\Bbbk=\mathbb{F}_2$. Let F and G denote the (non-isomorphic) homogeneous strict polynomial functors of weight 6:

$$F=S^{2\,(1)}\otimes I^{(1)}\;,\quad G=S^2\otimes I^{(2)}\;.$$

Let $A=S(F)$ and $B=S(G)$. Then the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebras A and B are not isomorphic, although we have equalities of $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-algebras $\mathcal{U}A=\mathcal{U}B$ and equalities of weighted-algebras $A(V)=B(V)$ for all $V\in\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$.

3.5. **More strict polynomial objects.** In the article, we also need other strict polynomial objects. For instance, the *strict polynomial commutative differential graded algebras* ($\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-cdg-algebras) are the cdg-algebras which are commutative as differential graded algebras, i.e. $xy=(-1)^{|x||y|}yx$ for all homogeneous elements $x,y\in A(V)$, for all $V\in\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$. The algebras can also be augmented ($\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dga-algebras), or commutative and augmented ($\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-cdga-algebras). We also use strict polynomial differential graded coalgebras ($\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-coalgebras), etc. It is quite obvious how to adapt definition 3.1 to these cases, and we leave this to the reader.

4. STRUCTURE OF EXTENSION GROUPS

4.1. **Parameterized extension groups.** In this section we introduce the parameterized extension groups $\mathbb{E}(F,G)$ alluded to in the introduction of the paper. We begin by parameterized Hom-groups $\mathbb{H}(F,G)=\mathbb{E}^0(F,G)$.

Let $F\in\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$, and recall from notation 2.1 the parameterized functors:

$$F_V:W\mapsto F(V\otimes W)\qquad\text{and}\qquad F^V:W\mapsto F(\mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(V,W))\;.$$

As indicated in section 2.5, if $d>0$ then $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ is the category of $\Bbbk$-linear functors from $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$ to $\Bbbk$-mod. Thus, morphisms $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk}(V,V')$ induce morphisms of strict polynomial functors $F_V\to F_{V'}$ and $F^{V'}\to F^V$. Hence, for all $F,G\in\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ we have functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk & \to & \Bbbk-\mathrm{mod}\\ V & \mapsto & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F^V,G)\end{array}\;,\qquad \begin{array}{ccc}\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk & \to & \Bbbk-\mathrm{mod}\\ V & \mapsto & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F,G_V)\end{array}\;.$$

Lemma 4.1. *The two strict polynomial functors*

$$V\mapsto\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F^V,G)\qquad\textit{and}\qquad V\mapsto\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F,G_V)$$

are canonically isomorphic. We denote them by $\mathbb{H}(F,G)$.

Proof. Let us first take $F=\Gamma^{d,U}$. Then $(\Gamma^{d,U})^V=\Gamma^{d,U\otimes V}$ and the Yoneda lemma yields an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}((\Gamma^{d,U})^V,G)\simeq G(U\otimes V)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(\Gamma^{d,U},G_V)\,,$$

natural with respect to G, to $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,V')$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(U,U')$ (or equivalently to $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(\Gamma^{d,U'},\Gamma^{d,U})$. Since the $\Gamma^{d,U}$, $U \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, form a projective generator of $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$, we can take presentations of F to extend this isomorphism to all $F \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$. □

For $d = 0$, the category $\mathcal{P}_{0,\Bbbk}$ of homogeneous strict polynomial functors of weight 0 is the category of constant functors, so the discussion above is trivial and lemma 4.1 also holds for $d = 0$.

Now let us turn to the category $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ of strict polynomial functors. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ splits as the direct sum of its full subcategories $\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$. Thus, if F, G are strict polynomial functors, they split as finite direct sums $F = \bigoplus F_d$ and $G = \bigoplus G_d$, where F_d and G_d are homogeneous of weight d, and the functors

$$\begin{aligned} V &\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F^V, G) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F_d^V, G_d) \\ V &\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F, G_V) = \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F_d, (G_d)_V) \end{aligned}$$

are canonically isomorphic strict polynomial functors, which we still denote by $\mathbb{H}(F,G)$. The following lemma summarizes the main properties of parameterized Hom groups.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring. Parameterized* Hom *groups yield a bifunctor:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} & \to & \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} \\ (F,G) & \mapsto & \mathbb{H}(F,G) \end{array} .$$

If F, G are homogeneous of weight d, then so is $\mathbb{H}(F,G)$. Moreover:

1. *If F, G take values in $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, Kuhn duality yields an isomorphism of strict polynomial functors $\mathbb{H}(F,G) \simeq \mathbb{H}(G^\sharp, F^\sharp)$, natural in F, G.*
2. *If $G \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}(\Gamma^d, G) \simeq G$, natural in G.*
3. *Tensor products induce morphisms of strict polynomial functors:*

$$\mathbb{H}(F,G) \otimes \mathbb{H}(F',G') \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbb{H}(F \otimes F', G \otimes G') .$$

Proof. The first part of lemma 4.2 follows from lemma 4.1. To prove (1), we can assume that F, G are homogeneous of weight d. Since $(F^V)^\sharp = (F^\sharp)_V$, there is an isomorphism natural in F, G and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,V')$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F^V, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(G^\sharp, (F^V)^\sharp) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(G^\sharp, (F^\sharp)_V) .$$

Whence the result. (2) is the Yoneda lemma. For (3), we can assume that F, G (resp. F', G') are homogeneous of degree d (resp. e). The map:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}}(F^V, G) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{e,\Bbbk}}((F')^W, G') \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{d+e,\Bbbk}}(F^V \otimes (F')^W, G \otimes G')$$

is natural with respect to $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(V,V')$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^e\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}}(W,W')$ (i.e. it is a morphism of strict polynomial bifunctors). Hence it becomes a morphism of strict polynomial functors if one takes $V = W$. □

Now we define parameterized extension groups by deriving. Let us fix for each F a projective resolution P_F in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$. Then we define $\mathbb{E}(F,G)$ as the homology of the complex of strict polynomial functors $\mathbb{H}(P_F, G)$. The following proposition follows directly from lemma 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a commutative ring and let* F, G *be strict polynomial functors over* $\Bbbk$*. For all* $i \geq 0$*, the functors*

$$V \mapsto \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F^V, G) \quad \textit{and} \quad V \mapsto \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F, G_V)$$

are isomorphic strict polynomial functors. We denote them by $\mathbb{E}^i(F,G)$*. This yields bifunctors:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk & \to & \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk \\ (F,G) & \mapsto & \mathbb{E}^i(F,G) \end{array} .$$

The homogeneous part of weight d *of the strict polynomial functor* $\mathbb{E}^i(F,G)$ *is* $\mathbb{E}^i(F_d, G_d)$*, where* F_d *and* G_d *denote the homogeneous parts of* F *and* G *of weight* d*. Moreover, if* F, G *take values in* $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$*, Kuhn duality induces an isomorphism* $\mathbb{E}^i(F,G) \simeq \mathbb{E}^i(G^\sharp, F^\sharp)$*. Finally, tensor products induce morphisms of strict polynomial functors*

$$\mathbb{E}^i(F,G) \otimes \mathbb{E}^j(F',G') \to \mathbb{E}^{i+j}(F \otimes F', G \otimes G') .$$

4.2. **Convolution products.** We now introduce the convolution product '$\star$' on the parameterized Hom-groups between a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-coalgebra C and a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra A. This convolution product can be defined more generally when C is a differential graded object, see e.g. [LV, Chap. 2], but we shall only need the case when C is concentrated in degree zero (hence with trivial differential). So we only describe the latter case, where the signs are slightly simpler.

Definition 4.4. Let C be a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-coalgebra and let A be a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra. We denote by $\mathbb{H}(C,A)$ the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-dg-algebra defined as follows.

(i) The homogeneous part of $\mathbb{H}(C,A)$ of degree i and weight d equals $\mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, A_{i,d})$.

(ii) The differential ∂' of $\mathbb{H}(C,A)$ is given by postcomposing by the differential of A:

$$\partial' := \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, \partial) : \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, A_{i,d}) \to \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, A_{i-1,d}) .$$

(iii) The convolution product $\star$ is defined as the composite:

$$\mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, A_{i,d}) \otimes \mathbb{H}(C_{0,e}, A_{j,e}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d} \otimes C_{0,d}, A_{i,e} \otimes A_{j,e}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}(\Delta, m)} \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d+e}, A_{i+j,d+e}) .$$

(iv) The unit η' is induced by the unit of A and the counit of C:

$$\eta' := \mathbb{H}(\epsilon, \eta) : \Bbbk = \mathbb{H}(\Bbbk, \Bbbk) \to \mathbb{H}(C_{0,0}, A_{0,0}) .$$

If C is coaugmented (with coaugmentation $\eta : \Bbbk \to C$) and A is augmented (with augmentation $\epsilon : A \to \Bbbk$), the convolution algebra $\mathbb{H}(C,A)$ is augmented, with augmentation $\mathbb{H}(\eta, \epsilon) : \mathbb{H}(C,A) \to \mathbb{H}(\Bbbk, \Bbbk) = \Bbbk$. Thus, convolution algebras yield a functor:

$$\mathbb{H}(C, -) : \{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-dga-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-dga-alg}\} .$$

The following lemma is an easy check.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that* C *is a* commutative $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-coalgebra, and that* A *is a* graded commutative $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-dg-algebra. Then* $\mathbb{H}(C,A)$ *is graded commutative.*

We also have a convolution product (still denoted by $\star$) on the parameterized extension groups $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ between a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra and a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra. To be more specific, we make the following definition (compare [FFSS, p. 675]).

Definition 4.6. Let C be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra and let A be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebra. We denote by $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra defined as follows.

(i) The homogeneous part of degree $-i$ and weight d of $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is the functor $\mathbb{E}^i(C_{0,d}, A_{0,d})$.

(ii) The unit is the map $\mathbb{E}^0(\epsilon, \eta) = \mathbb{H}(\epsilon, \eta)$.

(iii) The convolution product $\star$ is defined as the composite:

$$\mathbb{E}^i(C_{0,d}, A_{0,d}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^j(C_{0,e}, A_{0,e}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbb{E}^{i+j}(C_{0,d} \otimes C_{0,e}, A_{0,d} \otimes A_{0,e}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(\Delta, m)} \mathbb{E}^{i+j}(C_{0,d+e}, A_{0,d+e}) \; .$$

The Ext-algebras considered in [FFSS] and in [C2] correspond to our strict polynomial graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}, Y^{(s)})$, for pairs (X, Y) of classical exponential functors.

4.3. $(1, \epsilon)$**-commutativity.** The algebras $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ are usually *not* graded commutative, even if C and A are commutative. Indeed, one easily checks the following lemma (cf. [FFSS, Lemma 1.11]).

Lemma 4.7. *Let X, Y be a pair of classical exponential functors and let r, s be nonnegative integers (take $r = s = 0$ if $\Bbbk$ is not a field of positive characteristic). Let $\epsilon(S) = \epsilon(\Gamma) = 0$ and let $\epsilon(\Lambda) = 1$. The following diagram commutes up to a $(-1)^{ij+\epsilon(X)k\ell+\epsilon(Y)mn}$ sign.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{E}^i(X^{k(r)}, Y^{m(s)}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^j(X^{\ell(r)}, Y^{n(s)}) \arrow[dr, "\star"] \arrow[dd, "x\otimes y\mapsto y\otimes x"'] & \\
& \mathbb{E}^{i+j}(X^{(k+\ell)\,(r)}, Y^{m+n(s)}) \\
\mathbb{E}^i(X^{\ell(r)}, Y^{n(s)}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^j(X^{k(r)}, Y^{m(s)}) \arrow[ur, "\star"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The $(-1)^{ij}$ sign is just the usual homological sign which comes from the commutativity of tensor products at the level of chain complexes $C \otimes D \simeq D \otimes C$, see e.g. [W, proof of lemma 6.7.12]. The signs $(-1)^{\epsilon(X)k\ell}$ and $(-1)^{\epsilon(Y)mn}$ are the signs needed to have the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^{(k+\ell)\,(r)} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & X^{k(r)} \otimes X^{\ell(r)} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & Y^{m(s)} \otimes Y^{n(s)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & Y^{m+n(s)} \\
& X^{\ell(r)} \otimes X^{k(r)} & Y^{n(s)} \otimes Y^{m(s)} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

In order to formalize the graded commutativity defect of the convolution product $\star$, we make the following definition.

Definition 4.8. Let A be a wdg-algebra, and let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$. We say that A is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative if for all homogeneous elements x, y in A we have:

$$x \cdot y = (-1)^{|x||y|+\epsilon w(x)w(y)} y \cdot x \;.$$

We say that a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative if for all $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, the wdg-algebra $A(V)$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative.

For example, the $(1,0)$-commutative $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras are precisely the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-cdg-algebras. We observe that the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $S[i]$ and $\Gamma[i]$ are $(1,0)$-commutative for i even, and $(1,1)$-commutative for i odd, whereas $\Lambda[i]$ is $(1,1)$-commutative for i even and $(1,0)$-commutative for i odd. We reformulate now lemma 4.7 in terms of $(1,\epsilon)$-commutativity.

Lemma 4.9. *Let X, Y be a pair of classical exponential functors and let r, s be nonnegative integers (take $r = s = 0$ if $\Bbbk$ is not a field of positive characteristic). Let $\epsilon(S) = \epsilon(\Gamma) = 0$ and let $\epsilon(\Lambda) = 1$. Let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\epsilon = \epsilon(X) + \epsilon(Y) \mod 2$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}, Y^{(s)})$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative.*

We observe that if A and B are wdg-algebras which are $(1,1)$-commutative, their tensor product $A \otimes B$ is not $(1,1)$-commutative. For this reason, we introduce a 'signed tensor product' $\otimes^{\epsilon}$.

Definition 4.10. Let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$. And let A and B be wdg-algebras. We define the wdg-algebra $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ in the following way.

(i) If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ are homogeneous elements, the degree of $a \otimes b$ is defined by $|a \otimes b| = |a| + |b|$ and its weight by $w(a \otimes b) = w(a) \otimes w(b)$.
(ii) The unit of $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ is the tensor product of the units of A and B.
(iii) For all homogeneous elements $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, the differential of $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ is given by $d(a \otimes b) = da \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes db$.
(iv) For all homogeneous elements $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, the product of $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ is given by
$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|a'||b|+\epsilon w(a')w(b)} (aa') \otimes (bb') \;.$$

When $\epsilon = 0$, the tensor product $\otimes^{\epsilon}$ is nothing but the usual tensor product of graded algebras. When $\epsilon = 1$, the definition differs from the usual tensor product by the sign involved in the product. One defines a tensor product $\otimes^{\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras analogously.

Lemma 4.11. *Let A and B be wdg-algebras. Then $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative if and only if A and B are $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative.*

Proof. If $A \otimes^{\epsilon} B$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative, then A (resp. B) identifies with the subalgebra of the elements of the form $a \otimes 1$ (resp. $1 \otimes b$), so it is also $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative.

Conversely, assume that A and B are $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative. Let $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ be homogeneous elements. Let us denote $f(x,y) = |x||y| + \epsilon w(x)w(y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') &= (-1)^{f(a',b)} (aa') \otimes (bb'),\\ &= (-1)^{f(a',b)+f(a,a')+f(b,b')} (a'a) \otimes (b'b),\\ &= (-1)^{f(a',b)+f(a,a')+f(b,b')+f(a,b')} (a' \otimes b') \cdot (a \otimes b).\end{aligned}$$

Now, the sign appearing on the last line equals $f(a\otimes b, a'\otimes b')$, so $A\otimes^\epsilon B$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative. □

5. The exponential property

In this section, we recall the basics of exponential functors, i.e. graded functors E equipped with an isomorphism $E(V)\otimes E(W)\simeq E(V\oplus W)$. Archetypes of exponential functors are symmetric, exterior and divided powers, this is why we call them 'classical exponential functors'. We also prove that when C and A are exponential functors, the parameterized extension groups $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$ are exponential functors.

5.1. Exponential functors.

Definition 5.1. Let A be a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra. Then A is called an exponential functor if (i) each summand $A_{i,d}$ of degree i and weight d takes values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, and (ii) the following composite is an isomorphism of graded $\Bbbk$-modules (here ι_V and ι_W are the canonical inclusions of V and W in $V\oplus W$, and m is the product in A).

$$A(V)\otimes A(W)\xrightarrow{A(\iota_V)\otimes A(\iota_W)} A(V\oplus W)^{\otimes 2}\xrightarrow{m} A(V\oplus W)$$

Example 5.2. If i is an integer, the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $S[i]$, $\Lambda[i]$ and $\Gamma[i]$ defined in section 3.4 are exponential functors. Also, if F is an additive functor (e.g. one of the functors $V\otimes$, $\mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(V,-)$ or $I^{(r)}$ from notation 2.1), and if A is an exponential functor, then the composite $A(F)=A\circ F$ is an exponential functor.

We refer to the isomorphism $A(V\oplus W)\simeq A(V)\otimes A(W)$ as the *exponential isomorphism.* By definition, the multiplication of an exponential functor determines the exponential isomorphism. Conversely, if A is an exponential functor, the multiplication of A can be recovered from exponential isomorphism, as the composite (where Σ is the map $(x,y)\mapsto x+y$):

$$A(V)\otimes A(V)\xrightarrow{\simeq} A(V\oplus V)\xrightarrow{A(\Sigma)} A(V)\ .$$

Similarly, if A is an exponential functor, the exponential isomorphism can also be used to define a comultiplication Δ on A, as the composite (where δ is the map $x\mapsto(x,x)$):

$$A(V)\xrightarrow{A(\delta)} A(V\oplus V)\xrightarrow{\simeq} A(V)\otimes A(V)\ .$$

And the exponential isomorphism can be recovered from the comultiplication Δ as the composite (where π_V and π_W denote the canonical projections)

$$A(V\oplus W)\xrightarrow{\Delta} A(V\oplus W)^{\otimes 2}\xrightarrow{A(\pi_V)\otimes A(\pi_W)} A(V)\otimes A(W)\ .$$

So, if A is an exponential functor, each one of the following data determines the two other ones: the multiplication, the exponential isomorphism, the comultiplication.

Example 5.3. For the classical exponential functors $X=S$, Λ or Γ, the coproduct determined by the usual multiplication (i.e. the one defined by the canonical maps $X^d\otimes X^e\to X^{d+e}$ indicated in section 2.3) is the usual comultiplication (defined by the canonical maps $X^{d+e}\to X^e\otimes X^d$).

The following lemma is straightforward, we record it for further use.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A, B be exponential functors and let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism of graded strict polynomial functors. The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *f is a morphism of graded $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras,*
(ii) *f commutes with the exponential isomorphisms,*
(iii) *f is a morphism of graded $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebras.*

5.2. **The exponential property for extension groups.** We now show that (under slight hypotheses), the parameterized extension groups $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ between exponential functors are an exponential functor. We begin with a statement on the Hom-level.

Lemma 5.5. *Let E be an exponential functor, and let F, G be strict polynomial functors with values in $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$. Let us denote E_i by the summand of E of degree i: $E_i = \bigoplus_d E_{i,d}$. Assume that for all i, the* Hom*-groups $\mathbb{H}(E_i, F)$ and $\mathbb{H}(E_i, G)$ are finitely generated and projective over $\Bbbk$. Then the composite is a graded isomorphism (where the morphism on the right is induced by the comultiplication of E, and the total degree is taken on the left hand side)*

$$\bigoplus_{i\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(E_i, F) \otimes \bigoplus_{j\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(E_j, G) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{i,j\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(E_i \otimes E_j, F \otimes G) \to \bigoplus_{k\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(E_k, F \otimes G) .$$

Similarly, if the Hom*-groups $\mathbb{H}(F, E_i)$ and $\mathbb{H}(G, E_i)$ are in $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, the following composite (where the morphism on the right is induced by the multiplication of E, and the total degree is taken on the left hand side) is an isomorphism:*

$$\bigoplus_{i\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(F, E_i) \otimes \bigoplus_{j\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(G, E_j) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{i,j\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(F \otimes G, E_i \otimes E_j) \to \bigoplus_{k\ge 0} \mathbb{H}(F \otimes G, E_k) .$$

Proof. The Kuhn dual of an exponential functor is an exponential functor. So by lemma 4.2, the first isomorphism of lemma 5.5 is equivalent to the second one via Kuhn duality. Thus we only prove the latter.

Fix $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ and denote by $A_{i,d}$ the functor $(E_{i,d})_V$ and by $[F, A_{i,d}]$ the $\Bbbk$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F, A_{i,d})$. We have to prove that the following composite, called Φ in the sequel, is an isomorphism.

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=k} [F, A_{i,d}] \otimes [G, A_{j,e}] \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{i+j=k} [F \otimes G, A_{i,d} \otimes A_{j,e}] \to [F \otimes G, A_{k,d+e}]$$

Case $F = \Gamma^{d\,X}$, $G = \Gamma^{e\,Y}$. In this case, the source and the target of Φ are bifunctors with variables $X \in \Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ and $Y \in \Gamma^e\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ (cf. section 2.5), and Φ is a natural transformation. But $F \otimes G$ identifies as the direct summand of weight d with respect to X and weight e with respect to Y of the bifunctor $\Gamma^{\ell, X\oplus Y}$. So Φ is the homogeneous part of weight d with respect to X and e with respect to Y of the morphism (where the map on the right hand side is induced by the multiplication of A and by the diagonal $\Gamma^{\ell\,X\oplus Y} \to \Gamma^{d\,X} \otimes \Gamma^{e\,Y}$):

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k\\ d+e=\ell}} [\Gamma^{d\,X}, A_{i,d}] \otimes [\Gamma^{e\,Y}, A_{j,e}] \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k\\ d+e=\ell}} [\Gamma^{d\,X} \otimes \Gamma^{e\,Y}, A_{i,d} \otimes A_{j,e}] \to [\Gamma^{\ell\,X\oplus Y}, A_{k,\ell}]$$

The latter identifies through the Yoneda isomorphism with the composite

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k\\ d+e=\ell}} A_{i,d}(X)\otimes A_{j,e}(Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=k\\ d+e=\ell}} A_{i,d}(X\oplus Y)\otimes A_{j,e}(X\oplus Y) \to A_{k,\ell}(X\oplus Y)\ .$$

But $A = E_V$ is an exponential functor, so this map is an isomorphism. Whence the result for $F = \Gamma^{d\,X}$, $G = \Gamma^{e\,Y}$.

General case. By additivity of (parametrized) Hom groups, we can restrict to the case of homogeneous functors $F \in \mathcal{P}_{d,\Bbbk}$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}_{e,\Bbbk}$. Now $[F, A_{i,d}]$ and $[G, A_{j,e}]$ are projective $\Bbbk$-modules, and so are $[\Gamma^{d,X}, A_{i,d}]$ and $[\Gamma^{e,Y}, A_{j,e}]$ (by the Yoneda lemma, since the homogeneous summands of A have values in $\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$). Hence the result for arbitrary F, G follows from the result for $F = \Gamma^{d,X}$ and $G = \Gamma^{e,Y}$ by left exactness of Homs, when taking projective presentations of F and G. □

Remark 5.6. Alternatively, one can prove lemma 5.5 by using first the sum-diagonal adjunction as in the proof of [FFSS, Thm 1.7], and then identifying the isomorphism obtained, as in [T1, Lemma 5.13].

If $\Bbbk$ is a field, then the hypothesis that the Hom-groups $\mathbb{H}(E^i, F)$ and $\mathbb{H}(E^i, G)$ are finitely generated and projective over $\Bbbk$ is automatically satisfied by lemma 2.2. The next result follows from lemma 5.5 by taking projective resolutions and using the Künneth formula.

Lemma 5.7 (Compare [FFSS, Thm 1.7])**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field, let E be an exponential functor, and let F, G be strict polynomial functors. The following composite is an isomorphism.*

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{E}(F, E_i) \otimes \mathbb{E}(F, E_j) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{E}(F \otimes G, E_i \otimes E_j) \to \mathbb{E}(F \otimes G, E_k)$$

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field, let X, Y be exponential functors concentrated in degree 0 (i.e. $X_{i,d} = Y_{i,d} = 0$ for $i > 0$). The $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(X, Y)$ is a an exponential functor.*

Proof. First, since $\Bbbk$ is a field and the functors $X_{0,d}$ and $Y_{0,d}$ take finite dimensional values, the functors $\mathbb{E}^i(X_{0,d}, Y_{0,d})$ take finite dimensional values. So it remains to check the exponential isomorphism. The following composite (where the morphism on the left hand side is induced by the canonical inclusions of V, W in $V \oplus W$, and the morphism on the right hand side is given by the convolution product of $\mathbb{E}(X, Y)$) :

$$\mathbb{E}(X, Y)(V) \otimes \mathbb{E}(X, Y)(W) \to \mathbb{E}(X, Y)(V \oplus W)^{\otimes 2} \to \mathbb{E}(X, Y)(V \oplus W)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, it equals the composite

$$\mathbb{E}(X, Y_V)(\Bbbk) \otimes \mathbb{E}(X, Y_W)(\Bbbk) \to \mathbb{E}(X, Y_V \otimes Y_W)(\Bbbk) \to \mathbb{E}(X, Y_{V\oplus W})(\Bbbk),$$

where the first map is the isomorphism of lemma 5.7 and the second one is induced by the isomorphism $Y_V \otimes Y_W \simeq Y_{V\oplus W}$. □

Remark 5.9. We make no use of proposition 5.8 in this article. We have stated it only to justify that it is *a priori* not worthy to to care about the coproduct on $\mathbb{E}(X, Y)$ as we claimed it in the introduction. Indeed, as observed in section 5.1, if we know $\mathbb{E}(X, Y)$ as a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra, the coproduct is determined by the product (thus, on our computation in section 14, the obvious candidate for the coproduct is the good one!). Notice that such a reasonning does not work if one restricts to computing the unparameterized extension groups $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(X^*,Y^*)=\mathbb{E}(X,Y)(\Bbbk)$, because the functoriality is needed to recover the coproduct.

Part 2. Extension groups between S, Λ, Γ, and bar constructions

In this part, $\Bbbk$ is a commutative ring. We compute the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ in terms of the homology of the (iterated) bar constructions of Γ. We proceed in two steps. First, we introduce regrading functors

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\}\ .$$

Then, we prove that $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ are equal to the homology of the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras $\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}\overline{B}(\Gamma[2i])$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2i+2}\overline{B}^2(\Gamma[2i])$. This is done in theorem 7.4, which can be seen as a wide generalization of the main theorem of [A][3]. The key point in the proof is the interchange property (proposition 7.2), which is very specific to exponential functors.

6. Regrading functors

Definition 6.1. Let α be an integer and let $\big(A,\eta,m,\partial\big)$ be a strict polynomial differential graded algebra. The regraded algebra is the algebra $(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A,\mathcal{R}_\alpha\eta,\mathcal{R}_\alpha m,\mathcal{R}_\alpha\partial)$ defined by the following formulas.

(i) $(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{i,d}=A_{i+\alpha d,d}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{R}_\alpha\eta=\eta$
(iii) $\mathcal{R}_\alpha m:(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{i,d}\otimes(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{j,e}\to(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{i+j,d+e}$ equals $(-1)^{\alpha(i+d)e}m$.
(iv) $\mathcal{R}_\alpha\partial:(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{i,d}\to(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)_{i-1,d}$ equals $(-1)^{\alpha d}\partial$.

Let $V\in\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ be a finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-module, and let x,y be a pair of homogeneous elements of the weighted graded $\Bbbk$-module $A(V)$. We denote by $|x|$ the degree of x in $A(V)$ and by $w(x)$ its weight. We denote by $s^{\alpha w(x)}x$ and $s^{\alpha w(y)}y$ the same elements, viewed as elements of the weighted graded $\Bbbk$-module $\mathcal{R}_\alpha A(V)$. Then the definitions of the product and of the differential take the more suggestive form (where the signs which appear are determined by the usual Koszul sign rule, see e.g. [LV, Chap 1]):

$$s^{\alpha w(x)}x\cdot s^{\alpha w(y)}y:=(-1)^{\alpha|x|w(y)}s^{\alpha w(x\otimes y)}x\cdot y\ ,$$

$$(R_\alpha\partial)(s^{\alpha w(x)}x):=(-1)^{\alpha w(x)}s^{\alpha w(x)}(\partial x)\ .$$

It is not hard to see from these formulas that the definition of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha A$ makes sense, i.e. that the product $\mathcal{R}_\alpha m$ is associative, and the differential $R_\alpha\partial$ is a derivation.

Definition 6.2. If $f:A\to B$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras, we define $\mathcal{R}_\alpha f$ by $(\mathcal{R}_\alpha f)_{i,d}=f_{i+\alpha d,d}$. This yields a regrading functor:

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\}\ .$$

---

[3] The main theorem of [A] corresponds to the case of $\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\Bbbk)$ (hence without functoriality), without the algebra structure.

Let us denote by H the homology functor $H : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-g-alg}\}$, and recall from section 4.2 the convolution algebra functor $\mathbb{H}(C, -)$. It is easy to check that the regrading functor commutes with these two functors.

Lemma 6.3. *Let α be an integer and let C be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra. For all $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra A, we have equalities of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded-algebras, and $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-differential graded algebras:*

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(HA) = H(\mathcal{R}_\alpha A)\ , \qquad \mathcal{R}_\alpha \mathbb{H}(C, A) = \mathbb{H}(C, \mathcal{R}_\alpha A)\ .$$

If α is even, one easily checks that $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ is an isomorphism of categories, with inverse $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$. This is not the case if α is odd. We first need to introduce a new definition.

Definition 6.4. Let (A, η, m, ∂) be $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra. The weight twisted algebra ${}^{\mathrm{t}}A$ is the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra $(A, \eta, {}^{\mathrm{t}}m, \partial)$ where ${}^{t}m : A_{i,d} \otimes A_{j,e} \to A_{i+j,d+e}$ equals $(-1)^{de}m$. If $f : A \to B$ is a morphism of algebras, we define ${}^{\mathrm{t}}f = f$. This yields an involutive functor:

$${}^{\mathrm{t}} : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-dg-alg}\}\ .$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let A, B be $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras, and let $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.*

(i) *A is $(1, \epsilon)$-commutative if and only if ${}^{\mathrm{t}}A$ is.*

(ii) *There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras ${}^{\mathrm{t}}(A \otimes^\epsilon B) \simeq ({}^{\mathrm{t}}A) \otimes^\epsilon ({}^{\mathrm{t}}B)$.*

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, and let $a, a' \in A(V)$ and $b, b' \in B(V)$ be homogeneous elements. Let us prove (i). Since ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ is an involution, it suffices to prove the only if part. So we assume that A is $(1, \epsilon)$-commutative. We denote by $a \cdot^{\mathrm{t}} a'$ the product in $A(V)$ and by $a \cdot a'$ the product in $A(V)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot^{\mathrm{t}} a' = (-1)^{w(a)w(a')} a \cdot a' &= (-1)^{w(a)w(a') + |a||a'| + \epsilon w(a)w(a')} a' \cdot a \\ &= (-1)^{|a||a'| + \epsilon w(a)w(a')} a' \cdot^{\mathrm{t}} a\ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ${}^{\mathrm{t}}A$ is $(1, \epsilon)$-commutative. Now we turn to (ii). Let $\psi(x, y) = w(x)w(y)$. We define:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Psi : & {}^{\mathrm{t}}(A \otimes^\epsilon B) & \to & ({}^{\mathrm{t}}A) \otimes^\epsilon ({}^{\mathrm{t}}B) \\ & a \otimes b & \mapsto & (-1)^{\psi(a,b)} a \otimes b \end{array}.$$

It is straightforward to check that Ψ preserves the degrees, the weights, the units and the differentials. We have to check that ψ is multiplicative. We denote $f(x, y) = |x||y| + \epsilon w(x)w(y)$. In ${}^{\mathrm{t}}(A \otimes^\epsilon B)$, the product is defined by:

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{\psi(a \otimes b, a' \otimes b') + f(a', b)} aa' \otimes bb'\ .$$

In $({}^{\mathrm{t}}A) \otimes^{\overline{\epsilon}} ({}^{\mathrm{t}}B)$, the product is defined by:

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{\psi(a,b) + \psi(a', b') + f(a', b)} aa' \otimes bb'\ .$$

Then $\Psi((a \otimes b) \cdot (a \otimes b'))$ equals $\Psi(a \otimes b) \cdot \Psi(a \otimes b')$ up to a $(-1)^n$ sign, where n equals the sum:

$$\psi(a \otimes b, a' \otimes b') + f(a', b) + \psi(aa', bb') + \psi(a, b) + \psi(a', b') + f(a', b) + \psi(a, a') + \psi(b, b')\ .$$

One readily checks that this sum is even. Hence ψ is multiplicative. □

Lemma 6.6. *Let α be an integer. We have ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_\alpha = \mathcal{R}_\alpha{}^{\mathrm{t}}$. If α is even, we have $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha = \mathrm{Id}$. If α is odd, we have $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha = {}^{\mathrm{t}}$.*

Proof. The proof that ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ commute is straightforward. We concentrate on the identification of $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha$. Let A be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha A = (A, \eta, \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha m, \partial)$, so we only have to identify the product of $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha A$. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, and let x, y be homogeneous elements in $A(V)$. We define $\varpi(x) = \alpha w(x)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-\varpi(x)}s^{\varpi(x)}x \cdot s^{-\varpi(y)}s^{\varpi(y)}y &= (-1)^{-\varpi(y)(\varpi(x)+|x|)}s^{-\varpi(x\otimes y)}\big(s^{\varpi(x)}x \cdot s^{\varpi(y)}y\big)\;, \\ &= (-1)^{-\varpi(y)\varpi(x)}s^{-\varpi(x\otimes y)}s^{\varpi(x\otimes y)}x \cdot y\;. \end{aligned}$$

If α is even, the sign in the latter equality equals one, so $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha A = A$. If α is odd, the sign equals $(-1)^{w(x)w(y)}$ so $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}\mathcal{R}_\alpha A = {}^{\mathrm{t}}A$. □

In particular, $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ is an isomorphism of categories. The behaviour of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ with respect to graded commutativity also depends on the parity of α.

Lemma 6.7. *Let α be an integer, let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and let $\overline{\epsilon} \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\overline{\epsilon} = \epsilon + 1 \mod 2$. Let A be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra.*

- *If α is even, then $\mathcal{R}_\alpha A$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative if and only if A is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative.*
- *If α is odd, then $\mathcal{R}_\alpha A$ is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative if and only if A is $(1,\overline{\epsilon})$-commutative.*

Proof. By lemma 6.5 ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ preserves $(1,\epsilon)$-commutativity. Thus, by lemma 6.6, it suffices to prove the 'only if' part of the statements. Let us assume that A is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$. Let x, y be homogeneous elements of $A(V)$. We define $\varpi(x) = \alpha w(x)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} s^{\varpi(y)}y \cdot s^{\varpi(x)}x &= (-1)^{|y|\varpi(x)}s^{\varpi(x\otimes y)}y \cdot x \\ &= (-1)^{|y|\varpi(x)+|x||y|+\epsilon w(x)w(y)}s^{\varpi(x\otimes y)}x \cdot y\;, \\ s^{\varpi(x)}x \cdot s^{\varpi(y)}y &= (-1)^{|x|\varpi(y)}s^{\varpi(x\otimes y)}x \cdot y\;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $s^{\varpi(y)}y \cdot s^{\varpi(x)}x$ equals $s^{\varpi(x)}x \cdot s^{\varpi(y)}y$ up to a $(-1)^n$ sign, with

$$\begin{aligned} n =& |y|\varpi(x) + |x||y| + |x|\varpi(y) + \epsilon w(x)w(y) \\ =& (|x| + \varpi(x))(|y| + \varpi(y)) + (\alpha + \epsilon)w(x)w(y) \mod 2\;. \end{aligned}$$

But $|x| + \varpi(x)$ is the degree of $s^{\varpi(x)}x \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha A(V)$, thus the last equality shows that $\mathcal{R}_\alpha A$ is $(1, \epsilon + \alpha)$-commutative. □

Lemma 6.8. *Let α be an integer, let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and let $\overline{\epsilon} \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\overline{\epsilon} = \epsilon + 1 \mod 2$. If α is even, then for all $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras A, B there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras:*

$$R_\alpha(A \otimes^\epsilon B) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\alpha(A) \otimes^\epsilon \mathcal{R}_\alpha(B)\;.$$

If α is odd, there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras:

$$R_\alpha(A \otimes^\epsilon B) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\alpha(A) \otimes^{\overline{\epsilon}} \mathcal{R}_\alpha(B)\;.$$

Proof. We do the proof for α odd (the case α even is elementary). We define $\varpi(x) = \alpha w(x)$. If $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ are homogeneous elements, we denote by $s^{\varpi(a\otimes b)}a \otimes b$ the element $a \otimes b$ viewed as an element of $R_\alpha(A \otimes^\epsilon B)$, and by $(s^{\varpi(a)}a) \otimes (s^{\varpi(b)}b)$ the element $a \otimes b$ viewed as an element of

$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(A)\otimes^{\overline{\epsilon}}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(B)$. We also define $\phi(a,b)=|a|\varpi(b)$. It is straightforward to check that the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\Phi: & R_\alpha(A\otimes^\epsilon B) & \to & \mathcal{R}_\alpha(A)\otimes^{\overline{\epsilon}}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(B)\\ & s^{\varpi(a\otimes b)}a\otimes b & \mapsto & (-1)^{\phi(a,b)}(s^{\varpi(a)}a)\otimes(s^{\varpi(b)}b)\end{array}$$

preserves the degrees, the weights, the units and the differentials. We have to prove that Φ preserves the products. Let us write for short $f(x,y)=|x||y|+\epsilon w(x)w(y)$ and $g(x,y)=(\varpi(x)+|x|)(\varpi(y)+|y|)+\overline{\epsilon}w(x)w(y)$. The multiplication in $R_\alpha(A\otimes^\epsilon B)$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(s^{\varpi(a\otimes b)}a\otimes b\right)\cdot\left(s^{\varpi(a'\otimes b')}a'\otimes b'\right)\\ &\qquad=(-1)^{\phi(a\otimes b,a'\otimes b')}s^{\varpi(a\otimes b\otimes a'\otimes b')}(a\otimes b)\cdot(a'\otimes b')\ ,\\ &\qquad=(-1)^{\phi(a\otimes b,a'\otimes b')+f(a',b)}s^{\varpi(aa')+\varpi(bb')}(aa')\otimes(bb')\ .\end{aligned}$$

The multiplication in $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(A)\otimes^{\overline{\epsilon}}\mathcal{R}_\alpha(B)$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(s^{\varpi(a)}a\otimes s^{\varpi(b)}b\right)\cdot\left(s^{\varpi(a')}a'\otimes s^{\varpi(b')}b'\right)\\ &\qquad=(-1)^{g(b,a')}\left(s^{\varpi(a)}a\cdot s^{\varpi(a')}a'\right)\otimes\left(s^{\varpi(b)}b\cdot s^{\varpi(b')}b'\right)\ ,\\ &\qquad=(-1)^{g(b,a')+\phi(a,a')+\phi(b,b')}(s^{\varpi(aa')}aa')\otimes(s^{\varpi(bb')}bb')\ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\Phi(s^{\varpi(a\otimes b)}a\otimes b\cdot s^{\varpi(a'\otimes b')}a'\otimes b')=(-1)^n\Phi(s^{\varpi(a\otimes b)}a\otimes b)\cdot\Phi(s^{\varpi(a'\otimes b')}a'\otimes b')\ ,$$

where the integer n equals the following sum:

$$g(b,a')+\phi(a,a')+\phi(b,b')+\phi(a\otimes b,a'\otimes b')+f(a',b)+\phi(a,b)+\phi(a',b')+\phi(aa',bb').$$

One readily checks that this sum is even. So Φ preserves products. $\square$

We finish this section by explicit computations involving $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$. Recall that if $X=S,\Lambda$ or Γ, then $X[i]$ denote the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra with X^d in degree di and weight d, equipped with multiplication given by the canonical maps $X^d\otimes X^e\to X^{d+e}$, and that $X[i]^{(r)}$ denotes $X[i]$ precomposed by the Frobenius twist (so it has $X^{d\,(r)}$ in degree di and weight pd). The following lemma is a straightforward computation.

Lemma 6.9. *Let X be S,Λ or Γ. Assume that α is even or that i is even. Then we have the following equalities of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras.*

$$R_\alpha\left(X[i]^{(r)}\right)=X[i-\alpha p^r]\ .$$

Assume that both α and i are odd. Then we have the following equalities of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras.

$$R_\alpha\left(X[i]^{(r)}\right)={}^{\mathrm{t}}\left(X[i-\alpha p^r]^{(r)}\right)\ .$$

Proof. The equalities of lemma 6.9 are straightforward as equalities of graded strict polynomial functors. Let us identify the multiplication on $R_\alpha\left(X[i]^{(r)}\right)$. Let $V\in\mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$ and let x,y be homogeneous elements in $X[i]^{(r)}(V)$. Then:

$$s^{\alpha w(x)}x\cdot s^{\alpha w(y)}y=(-1)^{\alpha|x|w(y)}s^{\alpha w(x\otimes y)}x\cdot y\ .$$

If α is even the sign equals 1. If alpha is odd, and i is even, all elements of $X[i](V)$ are in even degree, so the sign also equals 1. If α and i are odd, then $\alpha|x| = w(x) \mod 2$, so the sign equals $(-1)^{w(x)w(y)}$. Whence the result. □

7. Bar constructions and extension groups

7.1. **Recollections of bar constructions.** Let A be a differential graded augmented $\Bbbk$-algebra (dga-$\Bbbk$-algebra). We denote by ϵ its augmentation and by $A' \ker\epsilon$ the augmentation ideal of A. The degree of an homogeneous element $a \in A$ is denoted by $|a|$. The (reduced, normalized) bar construction over A is the differential graded coaugmented $\Bbbk$-coalgebra $\overline{B}A$ defined as follows see e.g. [ML, X.10] or [LV, Chap. 2].

- $\overline{B}A$ equals $\bigoplus_{n\ge 0} A'^{\otimes n}$ as a $\Bbbk$-module.
- A scalar λ of $\Bbbk = A'^{\otimes 0}$ is denoted by $\lambda[]$ and has degree 0. For $n \ge 1$, let a_i be homogeneous elements of A, $1 \le i \le n$. The element $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \in A'^{\otimes n}$ is denoted by $[a_1|\dots|a_n]$ and has degree $n + \sum |a_i|$.
- The counit is the map $\overline{B}A \twoheadrightarrow A'^{\otimes 0} = \Bbbk$, and the coaugmentation is the map $\Bbbk = A'^{\otimes 0} \hookrightarrow \overline{B}A$.
- The differential $\partial : \overline{B}A_k \to \overline{B}A_{k-1}$ sends an element $[a_1|\dots|a_n]$ to the sum:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{e_i}[a_1|\dots|a_ia_{i+1}|\dots|a_n] - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{e_{i-1}}[a_1|\dots|\partial a_i|\dots|a_n]\;,$$
where $e_0 = 0$ and for $i \ge 1$, e_i equals $i + \sum_{j\le i}|a_i|$.
- The coproduct $\Delta : \overline{B}A \to \overline{B}A \otimes \overline{B}A$ sends an element $[a_1|\dots|a_n]$ to the sum
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}[a_1|\dots|a_i] \otimes [a_{i+1}|\dots|a_n]\;.$$

When A is *graded commutative*, we can define a 'shuffle product' on $\overline{B}A$, which makes $\overline{B}A$ into a cdga-$\Bbbk$-algebra. So we can iterate bar constructions, and we denote by $\overline{B}^n A$ the n-th iterated bar construction of A. To be more specific, if a_i are homogeneous elements of A, the shuffle product $[a_1|\dots|a_p] * [a_{p+1}|\dots|a_{p+q}]$ equals
$$\sum \epsilon(\sigma)\,[a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}|\dots|a_{\sigma^{-1}(p+q)}]$$
where the sum is taken over all (p,q)-shuffles σ, and $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the Koszul sign such that $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n = \epsilon(\sigma)x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{\sigma(n)}$ in the exterior algebra $\Lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ over generators x_i with degree $|a_i| + 1$.

We have presented the (reduced, normalized) bar construction of a dga-$\Bbbk$-algebra, but the formulas above also make sense for the other categories of algebras described in section 3 (if the algebras have weights, the weights in $\overline{B}A$ are defined by $w([a_1|\dots|a_n]) = \sum w(a_i)$). In particular, for $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-cdga-algebras, iterated bar constructions yield functors ($n \ge 0$):
$$\{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-cdga-alg}\} \xrightarrow{\overline{B}^n} \{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-cdga-alg}\}.$$

7.2. **Bar constructions of symmetric and exterior algebras.** Let us now concentrate on the concrete example of bar constructions of symmetric and the exterior algebras. Recall that for all integer i, $S[i]$ (resp. $\Lambda[i]$) denotes the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra, with S^d (resp. Λ^d) in degree di and weight d. We can write down explicitly $\overline{B}(S[i])$. For $d \geq 0$, the homogeneous part of weight d of $\overline{B}(S[i])$ is the following complex of strict polynomial functors, which we denote by $I_{\bullet,d}$:

$$\underbrace{\otimes^d}_{\substack{\text{degree}\\ \text{d(i+1)}}} \to \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-2} S^{1\otimes k} \otimes S^2 \otimes S^{1\otimes d-k-2} \to \cdots \to \begin{matrix} S^{d-1}\otimes S^1 \\ \oplus S^1 \otimes S^{d-1} \end{matrix} \to \underbrace{S^d}_{\substack{\text{degree}\\ \text{di+1}}} \;.$$

Similarly, one gets the homogeneous part of weight d of $\overline{B}(\Lambda[i])$ by replacing symmetric powers by exterior ones in the complex above.

Assume that $S[i]$, resp. $\Lambda[i]$, are graded commutative (i.e. assume that i is even, resp. odd, or that $\Bbbk$ has characteristic 2). Then the canonical inclusions $\Lambda^d \hookrightarrow \otimes^d$, resp. $\Gamma^d \hookrightarrow \otimes^d$, define morphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-cdga-algebras:

$$\Lambda[i+1] \hookrightarrow \overline{B}(S[i]) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma[i+1] \hookrightarrow \overline{B}(\Lambda[i]) \;.$$

These morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms, see e.g. [LV, Chap 3, ex 3.2.5]. In particular, the complex $I_{\bullet,d}$ drawn above yields a coresolution of Λ^d by symmetric powers.

Let us recall from [ML, X Th. 11.2] that bar constructions preserve quasi isomorphisms of algebras. Hence, the composite

$$\Gamma[i+2] \hookrightarrow \overline{B}(\Lambda[i+1]) \hookrightarrow \overline{B}^2(S[i])$$

is a quasi isomorphism. So the homogeneous part of weight d of $\overline{B}^2(S[i])$ yields a complex $J_{\bullet,d}$ of symmetric powers, whose homology equals Γ^d in degree $d(i+2)$ (and zero in other degrees). The complex $J_{\bullet,d}$ has the form:

$$\underbrace{\otimes^d}_{\substack{\text{degree}\\ \text{d(i+2)}}} \to \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d-2} \otimes^d \to \cdots \to \begin{matrix} S^{d-1}\otimes S^1 \\ \oplus S^1 \otimes S^{d-1} \end{matrix} \to \underbrace{S^d}_{\substack{\text{degree}\\ \text{di+2}}} \;.$$

By lemma 2.3, we can use the complexes $I_{\bullet,d}$ and $J_{\bullet,d}$ to compute $\mathbb{E}(F, S^d)$ and $\mathbb{E}(F, \Lambda^d)$ when F takes values in the category $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ of finitely generated projective $\Bbbk$-modules.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, let C be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra whose homogeneous components $C_{d,i}$ take values in $\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, and let i be a nonnegative integer. The $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(C,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(C,\Gamma)$ are respectively isomorphic to the homology of the convolution $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}\mathbb{H}(C, \overline{B}(S[2i]))$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2i+2}\mathbb{H}(C, \overline{B}^2(S[2i]))$.*

Proof. We prove the case of $\mathbb{E}(C,\Lambda)$, the other case is similar. Let K_d be a coresolution of Λ^d by symmetric powers. Then $\mathbb{E}(C_{0,d}, \Lambda^d)$ is the homology of the complex $\mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, K_d)$, and the convolution product is given on the cochain level by the composite:

$$\mathbb{H}(C_{0,d}, K_d) \otimes \mathbb{H}(C_{0,e}, K_e) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d} \otimes C_{0,e}, K_d \otimes K_e) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}(\Delta_{d,e}, \widetilde{m})} \mathbb{H}(C_{0,d+e}, K_{d+e}) \;,$$

where $\Delta_{d,e}$ is the comultiplication $C_{0,d+e} \to C_{0,d} \otimes C_{0,e}$ and $\widetilde{m} : K_d \otimes K_e \to K_{d+e}$ is a lifting of the multiplication $m : \Lambda^d \otimes \Lambda^e \to \Lambda^{d+e}$.

Consider the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\overline{B}S[2i])$. It is quasi isomorphic to ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\Lambda[2i+1]) = \Lambda$. So we may take for K_d the homogeneous part of weight d of this $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra (this is $I_{\bullet,d}$, shifted), and for $\widetilde{m}$ the multiplication of ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\overline{B}S[2i])$. With this choice, the composite above is the convolution product of $\mathbb{H}(C, {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\overline{B}S[2i]))$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}(C, \Lambda)$ equals the homology of $\mathbb{H}(C, {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\overline{B}S[2i]))$. By lemma 6.3, the algebras ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}\mathbb{H}(C, \overline{B}S[2i])$ and $\mathbb{H}(C,{}^{\mathrm{t}}\,\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}(\overline{B}S[2i]))$ are equal, whence the result. □

7.3. **The interchange property.** This subsection is the core of our computation. Recall from section 4.2 that if C is a coaugmented commutative $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra, we have a convolution algebra functor:

$$\mathbb{H}(C, -) : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-cdga-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-cdga-alg}\}\ .$$

The following key result asserts that when C is exponential, the functor $\mathbb{H}(C, -)$ commutes with the bar construction functor $\overline{B} : \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-cdga-alg}\} \to \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-cdga-alg}\}$.

Proposition 7.2 (Interchange property)**.** *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a commutative ring, let* $E = \{E_{0,d}\}_{d\geq 0}$ *be a commutative exponential functor concentrated in degree zero, and let* $A = \{A_{i,d}\}_{i,d\geq 0}$ *be a* $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$*-cdga-algebra. Assume that* $\mathbb{H}(E, A)$ *takes* $\Bbbk$*-projective values. Then there is an isomorphism of* $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$*-cdga-algebras, natural with respect to* A*:*

$$\mathbb{H}(E, \overline{B}A) \simeq \overline{B}\mathbb{H}(E, A)\ .$$

Remark 7.3. If $\Bbbk$ is a Dedekind ring (e.g $\Bbbk$ is a field or $\mathbb{Z}$), and A has $\Bbbk$-projective values, the technical assumption that $\mathbb{H}(E, A)$ has $\Bbbk$-projective values is automatically satisfied by lemma 2.2.

Proof of proposition 7.2. Let us write for short $[X]$ instead of $\mathbb{H}(E, X)$. Lemma 5.5 yields an isomorphism $\theta_n : [A]^{\otimes n} \to [A^{\otimes n}]$. Taking the direct sum over all $n \geq 0$, we get an isomorphism of graded strict polynomial functors: $\theta : \overline{B}[A] \xrightarrow{\simeq} [\overline{B}A]$, natural with respect to A. We have to check that θ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-cdga-algebras. It is obvious that θ preserves augmentations.

By definition, the product $\star$ in the convolution algebra $[A]$ is the composite $[m] \circ \theta_2$, where m is the multiplication of A. Thus we have a commutative diagram for all $k \leq n$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[A]^{\otimes n}} \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\theta_n"'] \arrow[d, "{[A]^{\otimes k}\otimes\star\otimes[A]^{\otimes n-k-2}}"'] & {[A^{\otimes n}]} \arrow[d, "{[A^{\otimes k}\otimes m\otimes A^{\otimes n-k-2}]}"] \\
{[A]^{\otimes k} \otimes [A] \otimes [A]^{\otimes n-k-2}} \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\theta_{n-1}"'] & {[A^{\otimes n-1}]\ .}
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition of the differentials of $[\overline{B}A]$ and $\overline{B}[A]$, this commutative diagram implies that θ commutes with the differentials.

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Since E is commutative, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[A]^{\otimes n}} \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\theta"'] \arrow[d, "\sigma"] & {[A^{\otimes n}]} \arrow[d, "{[\sigma]}"] \\
{[A]^{\otimes n}} \arrow[r, "\simeq", "\theta"'] & {[A^{\otimes n}]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sigma : [A]^{\otimes n} \to [A]^{\otimes n}$ and $[\sigma] : [A^{\otimes n}] \to [A^{\otimes n}]$ are the maps induced by permuting the factors of the tensor products. By definition of the products of $\overline{B}[A]$ and $[\overline{B}A]$, this commutative diagram implies that θ is an isomorphism of algebras. Whence the result. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, and let i an integer. The $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ are respectively computed by the homology of the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{2i+1}\overline{B}(\Gamma[2i])$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2i+2}\overline{B}^2(\Gamma[2i])$.*

Proof. We first observe that $\mathbb{H}(S,S[2i]) = \Gamma[2i]$, hence has $\Bbbk$-projective values. Thus, proposition 7.2 yields an isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{H}(S,\overline{B}(S[2i])) \simeq \overline{B}\mathbb{H}(S,S[2i]) \simeq \overline{B}(\Gamma[2i]) \;.$$

But $\Gamma[2i]$, hence also $\overline{B}(\Gamma[2i])$ has $\Bbbk$-projective values. Thus, by the isomorphism above, $\mathbb{H}(S,\overline{B}(S[2i]))$ has $\Bbbk$-projective values. Applying proposition 7.2 again, we get an isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{H}(S,\overline{B}^2(S[2i])) \simeq \overline{B}\mathbb{H}(S,\overline{B}(S[2i])) \simeq \overline{B}^2(\Gamma[2i]) \;.$$

Now the result follows from proposition 7.1. □

Remark 7.5. In proposition 7.2, it is essential that E is commutative. If this is not the case, then $\mathbb{H}(E,A)$ is not graded commutative, so $\overline{B}\mathbb{H}(E,A)$ does not bear a multiplication. However, it is easy to check (same proof) that if we drop the commutativity of E, we still have an isomorphism of complexes of strict polynomial functors:

$$\mathbb{H}(E,\overline{B}A) \simeq \overline{B}\mathbb{H}(E,A) \;.$$

Applying this to $E = A = \Lambda$, we get an isomorphism of complexes $\mathbb{H}(\Lambda,\overline{B}S) \simeq \overline{B}\mathbb{H}(\Lambda,S)$. But $\mathbb{H}(\Lambda,S) \simeq \Lambda$, so the homology of $\mathbb{H}(\Lambda,\overline{B}S)$ equals $\Gamma[1]$ (as a graded strict polynomial functor). Since the homology of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{H}(\Lambda,\overline{B}S))$ computes $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda,\Lambda)$ (same proof as proposition 7.1, without taking the algebra structure into account), we finally obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Lambda,\Lambda) = \mathbb{H}(\Lambda,\Lambda) \simeq \Gamma \;.$$

This provides a proof that there are no extension groups between Λ and Λ, as asserted in the introduction.

Part 3. Homology of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces

In theorem 7.4 in part 2, we have proved that $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ are equal (up to regrading) to the homology of the iterated bar constructions of $\Gamma[2]$. So we are left with the following problem.

Problem 7.6. Compute the homology of the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$.

Fortunately, the answer is already partly known thanks to the computation by Cartan [Car] of the singular homology of Eilenberg Mac Lane (EML) spaces. Let us be more specific. If π is an abelian group, the singular homology with coefficients in $\Bbbk$ of the EML space $K(\pi,n)$ is isomorphic to the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Bbbk\pi)$, where $\Bbbk\pi$ denotes the group algebra of π, see e.g [EML]. When π is free abelian, we can choose a $\Bbbk$-linear section of the canonical map $\Bbbk\pi \twoheadrightarrow \pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk$. By the universal property of the symmetric algebra, this section induces a morphism of $\Bbbk$-algebras $\psi : S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk)\to \Bbbk\pi$.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, let π be a free abelian group, and let $\psi : S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk)\to\Bbbk\pi$ be a morphism of $\Bbbk$-algebras build from a section of the canonical map $\Bbbk\pi\twoheadrightarrow\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk$. Then for all positive integer n, the map*

$$\overline{B}^n(\psi) : \overline{B}^n(S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk))\to\overline{B}^n(\Bbbk\pi)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. It is not natural with respect to π, however the map $H(\overline{B}^n(\psi))$ induced on homology is natural with respect to π.

Proof. Let us first prove that $\overline{B}(\psi)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. All the elements of $\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi)_1$ are cycles, whence a surjective map $\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi)_1=\Bbbk\pi\twoheadrightarrow\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk$. An elementary computation shows that this map induces an isomorphism of $\Bbbk$-modules $H_1(\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi))\simeq\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk$. Let us *choose* a $\Bbbk$-linear section s of the canonical map $q:\Bbbk\pi\twoheadrightarrow\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk$. Since the differential algebra $\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi)$ is a graded commutative, products yield a morphism of differential graded $\Bbbk$-algebras (take the trivial differential on the left hand side)

$$\Lambda(s):\Lambda(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk[1])\to\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi)\ .$$

It is well known that $\Lambda(s)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, see e.g. [Br, V.6 Th 6.4(ii)]. Now $\overline{B}(\psi)$ is a morphism of algebras, so $\Lambda(s)$ factors as:

$$\Lambda(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk[1])\hookrightarrow\overline{B}(S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk))\xrightarrow{\overline{B}(\psi)}\overline{B}(\Bbbk\pi)$$

As recalled in section 7.2 the first map is a quasi-isomorphism, and since $\Lambda(s)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, so is $\overline{B}(\psi)$.

Bar constructions preserve quasi-isomorphisms, so for all $n\ge 1$, $\overline{B}^n(\psi)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

It remains to show that the map induced on homology by $\overline{B}^n(\psi)$ is natural with respect to π. We have a commutative diagram of differential graded $\Bbbk$-algebras:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \overline{B}^n(S(\Bbbk\pi)) \arrow[dl, "\overline{B}^n(S(q))"'] \arrow[dr, "\overline{B}^n(\phi)"] & \\
\overline{B}^n(S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk)) \arrow[rr, "\overline{B}^n(\psi)"] & & \overline{B}^n(\Bbbk\pi)
\end{tikzcd} ,$$

where $\phi:S(\Bbbk\pi)\to\Bbbk\pi$ is the morphism of $\Bbbk$-algebras induced by the universal property of the symmetric algebra and the identity map of $\Bbbk\pi$. The map $\overline{B}^n(S(q))$ is surjective in homology, indeed $\overline{B}^n(S(s))$ provides a section of $\overline{B}^n(S(q))$. Since $\overline{B}^n(\psi)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, $\overline{B}^n(\phi)$ is also surjective in homology. So for all π we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H(\overline{B}^n(S(\Bbbk\pi))) \arrow[dl, two heads, "H(\overline{B}^n(S(q)))"'] \arrow[dr, two heads, "H(\overline{B}^n(\phi))"] & \\
H(\overline{B}^n(S(\pi\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\Bbbk))) \arrow[rr, "H(\overline{B}^n(\psi))"] & & H(\overline{B}^n(\Bbbk\pi))
\end{tikzcd},$$

By their definition, the two maps with source $H(\overline{B}^n(S(\Bbbk\pi)))$ are natural with respect to π. Since they are surjective, an easy diagram chase proves that $H(\overline{B}^n(\psi))$ is natural with respect to π. □

Let us denote for short by $H(n,\Bbbk)$ the functor which sends a free abelian group π to the graded algebra $H_*^{\text{sing}}(K(\pi,n),\Bbbk) = H_*(\overline{B}^n(\Bbbk\pi))$. Proposition 7.7 has the following consequence.

Corollary 7.8. *Let n be a nonnegative integer. If $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-graded algebras*

$$H(\overline{B}^n\Gamma[2]) \simeq H(n+2,\mathbb{Z})\ .$$

If p prime and $\Bbbk = \mathbb{F}_p$, $H(n,\mathbb{F}_p)$ factors into a $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-graded algebra $\overline{H}(n,\mathbb{F}_p)$ and we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-graded algebras

$$H(\overline{B}^n\Gamma[2]) \simeq \overline{H}(n+2,\mathbb{F}_p)\ .$$

Corollary 7.8 shows that we can recover the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$, as a graded $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-algebra, when the ground ring $\Bbbk$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{F}_p$, from the algebraic topology computations of [Car]. But for our purposes, we need more. We need the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra structure of the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$ (or at least the data of the weights) in order to be able to apply our regrading functors from section 6. We also wish to compute the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$ over an arbitrary ground field $\Bbbk$ (not just a prime field).

In this part of the paper, we elaborate on Cartan's results to obtain a more satisfactory answer to problem 7.6. The first main result is theorem 9.13, which solves problem 7.6 when $\Bbbk$ is an arbitrary field. The second main result is theorem 10.9, which describes $H_*(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^m\,[2])))$ as a graded algebra with weights for $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Z}$. We deduce from these theorems an explicit computation of $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$. We first start in section 8 with a preparatory work for our first result. Namely we classify over a field $\Bbbk$, the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras A such that the underlying $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathcal{U}A$ is the free divided power algebra over copies of the identity functor.

8. Strict polynomial structures on divided power algebras

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of prime characteristic. If a functor $F \in \mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$ is graded, we can form the $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra:

$$U(F) = \Gamma(F_{\text{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(F_{\text{odd}})$$

We denote this algebra by $U(F)$ because it satisfies a universal property, see theorem 9.2 below. Recall from section 3 the forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{U} : \{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk\text{-graded alg.}\} \to \{\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk\text{-graded alg.}\}\ .$$

In this section, we determine all the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras A such that $\mathcal{U}A = U(F)$, if F is additive. We also do the same for $\Gamma(F)$ in characteristic 2.

8.1. **Strict polynomial structures on $U(F)$.** We first need a few results about additive strict polynomial functors. We say that a functor $F \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ is additive if the underlying functor $\mathcal{U}F \in \mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$ is additive.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of prime characteristic.*

- *(Classification) If $F \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ is additive with finite dimensional values, then F either equals zero or is a finite direct sum of Frobenius twists $I^{(r)}$ (with possibly different $r \geq 0$).*
- *(Retracts) Let F, G be additive functors with finite dimensional values, and let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F, G)$. Then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.*
  - (i) *There exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$ such that the $\Bbbk$-linear map $f_V : F(V) \to G(V)$ is surjective*
  - (ii) *There exists $\iota \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(G, F)$ such that $f \circ \iota = \mathrm{Id}_F$.*

Proof. To prove the classification, we can assume that F is homogeneous of degree d. If $d = 0$, then F is constant and additive, hence $F = 0$. So let us assume that $d \geq 1$. There are two cases.

Case 1: d is not a power of p. The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{End}_\Bbbk(\Bbbk) & \to & \mathrm{End}_\Bbbk(F(\Bbbk)) \\ f & \mapsto & F(f) \end{array}$$

is given by a homogeneous polynomial of degree d, which is additive. Since d is not a power of p, the only such polynomial is the zero polynomial. Thus, F sends the identity map of $\Bbbk$ to zero. So the identity map of $F(\Bbbk)$ equals zero. Thus $F(\Bbbk) = 0$. By additivity of F this implies that $F = 0$.

Case 2: $d = p^r$, for $r \geq 0$. Assume that F is not zero, and fix an integer $n \geq p^r$. Recall that evaluation on $\Bbbk^n$, yields an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{P}_{p^r,\Bbbk} \simeq S(n, p^r)-$mod. So it suffices to prove that $F(\Bbbk^n)$ is a direct sum of copies of $(\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}$.

Let $\Bbbk_i$ denote the vector space $\Bbbk$ acted on by the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ by $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \cdot x = \lambda_i \cdot x$. Since F is homogeneous of degree p^r, $F(\Bbbk_i)$ is acted on by $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ by $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \cdot x = \lambda_i^{p^r} \cdot x$. Additivity of F yields a $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$F(\Bbbk^n) = F(\textstyle\bigoplus_{i \leq n} \Bbbk_i) \simeq \textstyle\bigoplus_{i \leq n} F(\Bbbk_i) \ .$$

As a consequence, all the weights of the $S(n, p^r)$-module $F(\Bbbk^n)$ are of the form $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ with all $\mu_i = 0$ but one which equals p^r. In particular, if $S_1(\Bbbk^n), \dots, S_N(\Bbbk^n)$ is the composition series of $F(\Bbbk^n)$, the $S_i(\Bbbk^n)$ are finite direct sums of simples with highest weight $(p^r, 0, \dots, 0)$, that is of $(\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}$. We know (see e.g. [FS]) that $\mathrm{Ext}^1((\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}, (\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}) = 0$. Thus there cannot be nontrivial extensions between finite direct sums of $(\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}$. This implies that the composition series of $F(\Bbbk^n)$ has length $N = 1$. Thus $F(\Bbbk^n)$ is a finite direct sum of copies of $(\Bbbk^n)^{(r)}$.

Finally, let us prove the characterization of retracts. We can assume that F, G are homogeneous of degree p^r, $r \geq 1$. The identity map is a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(I^{(r)}, I^{(r)})$, so tensor products yield isomorphisms for $k, \ell \geq 1$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk(\Bbbk^k, \Bbbk^\ell) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(I^{(r)} \otimes \Bbbk^k, I^{(r)} \otimes \Bbbk^\ell), \quad f \mapsto \mathrm{Id} \otimes f,$$

and the result follows. □

Let us now explain the link between additive functors and exponential functors. If A is a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-(or $\mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$-)graded algebra, we denote by $Q(A)$ the indecomposables of A, that is $Q(A)$ is the cokernel of the multiplication $A' \otimes A' \to A'$, where A' is the augmentation ideal of A. If A is a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded augmented algebra, then $Q(A)$ is a graded strict polynomial functor and $\mathcal{U}Q(A) = Q(\mathcal{U}A)$. Similarly the primitives $P(C)$ of a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded coaugmented coalgebra C form a graded strict polynomial subfunctor of C and $\mathcal{U}P(C) = P(\mathcal{U}C)$. With these definitions we have the following result.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field, and let E be an exponential functor. The graded strict polynomial functors $P(E)$ and $Q(E)$ are additive. Moreover, if there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ such that the composite $P(E)(V) \hookrightarrow E(V) \twoheadrightarrow Q(E)(V)$ is surjective, then $Q(E)$ is a direct summand in E.*

Proof. Let E' be the augmentation ideal of E. Since E is exponential, we have $E'(V \oplus W)$ is isomorphic to $E'(V) \otimes \Bbbk \oplus \Bbbk \otimes E'(W) \oplus E'(V) \otimes E'(W)$. Moreover, the multiplication $E'(V \oplus W)^{\otimes 2} \to E'(V \oplus W)$ identifies through this decomposition with the direct sum of three maps (which are induced by multiplications):

(1) $(E'(V) \otimes \Bbbk)^{\otimes 2} \to E'(V) \otimes \Bbbk$,

(2) $(\Bbbk \otimes E'(W))^{\otimes 2} \to \Bbbk \otimes E'(W)$,

(3) $(E'(V) \otimes \Bbbk) \otimes (\Bbbk \otimes E'(W)) \oplus \begin{array}{c}\text{other summands}\\ \text{of } E'(V \oplus W)^{\otimes 2}\end{array} \to E'(V) \otimes E'(W)$.

The first two maps have respective cokernels $Q(E)(V)$ and $Q(E)(W)$ and the last one is surjective. This shows that $Q(E)$ is additive. The proof that the primitives are additive is similar.

Finally, if the map $P(E)(V) \to Q(E)(V)$ is surjective for some $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, then by lemma 8.1, it admits a section ι. So the composite $Q(E) \xrightarrow{\iota} P(E) \hookrightarrow E$ is a section of $E \twoheadrightarrow Q(E)$. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of the section.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of prime characteristic p, and for all $d \geq 0$, let $F_d \in \mathcal{F}_{\Bbbk}$ be a finite direct sum of n_d copies of the identity functor, and let $F = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} F_d$ The graded $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras A satisfying $\mathcal{U}A = U(F)$ are of the form:*

$$A = \Gamma(G_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{odd}})\ ,$$

where each $G_d \in \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ is a direct sum of n_d Frobenius twists $I^{(r)}$ (with possibly different $r \geq 0$).

Proof. **Step 1: Duality.** Let E be a graded exponential (non strict polynomial) functor. Then finding the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras A such that $\mathcal{U}A = E$ is equivalent to finding the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras B such that $\mathcal{U}B = E^{\sharp}$ as algebras.

Indeed, if $\mathcal{U}A = E$, then for all $V, W \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$ the composite

$$A(V) \otimes A(W) \to A(V \oplus W)^{\otimes 2} \to A(V \oplus W)$$

is an isomorphism (indeed, this is true for $\mathcal{U}A = E$, and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}$ reflects isomorphisms). Thus A is an exponential functor, and $\mathcal{U}A$ coincides with E as an exponential functor. Equivalently, $\mathcal{U}(A^{\sharp})$ coincides with $E^\sharp$ as an exponential functor. This is in turn equivalent to the fact that $B = A^\sharp$ is a graded strict polynomial algebra such that $\mathcal{U}B$ coincides with $E^\sharp$ as a $\mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra.

So, to prove theorem 8.3, it suffices to prove that the graded strict polynomial algebras B such that $\mathcal{U}B = S(F_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(F_{\mathrm{odd}})$ are of the form $S(G_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{odd}})$ with G as indicated.

Step 2: Indecomposables. If B is as indicated in step 1, then the indecomposables of B are a direct summand in B. Indeed, since $\mathcal{U}B = S(F_{\mathrm{even}})\otimes\Lambda(F_{\mathrm{odd}})$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, e.g. $V = \Bbbk$, such that the composite $P(B)(V) \to B(V) \to Q(B)(V)$ is surjective. Then one applies lemma 8.2.

Now, the indecomposables of B is an additive strict polynomial functor $Q(B)$ satisfying $\mathcal{U}Q(B) = Q(\mathcal{U}B) = F$. So by lemma 8.1, $Q(B)_d$ is a finite direct sum of n_d Frobenius twists for all $d \geq 0$.

Step 3: Universal property. The morphism of graded strict polynomial functors induces $Q(B) \hookrightarrow B$ induces a morphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $S(Q(B)_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(Q(B)_{\mathrm{odd}}) \to B$. For all $V \in \mathcal{V}_\Bbbk$, this morphism is an isomorphism after evaluation on V. Hence, it is an isomorphism. Thus B is of the form $S(G_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{odd}})$ with $G = Q(B)$ as indicated in the statement of theorem 8.3, which concludes the proof. □

8.2. Strict polynomial structures on $\Gamma(F)$.

Now we work over a field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 2. If F is a graded strict polynomial functor, then the symmetric algebra $S(F)$ is commutative, so we can adapt the proof of theorem 8.3 to get the following result (the commutativity of $S(F)$ is needed in the last step of the proof, the remainder of the proof is unchanged).

Theorem 8.4. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of characteristic 2, and for all $d \geq 0$, let $F_d \in \mathcal{F}_\Bbbk$ be a finite direct sum of n_d copies of the identity functor, and let $F = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} F_d$ The graded $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebras A satisfying $\mathcal{U}A = \Gamma(F)$ are of the form: $A = \Gamma(G)$, where each $G_d \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$ is a direct sum of n_d Frobenius twists $I^{(r)}$ (with possibly different $r \geq 0$).*

9. EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS OVER A FIELD

9.1. Systems of divided powers on an algebra.

In this section, we recall the basics of systems of divided powers on an algebra. The reader can take for example [Car, Exp. 7, 8], [Eis, Appendix 2] or [D, Section 3.1] as references. In this section, $\Bbbk$ is an abitrary commutative ring.

Definition 9.1. Let A be a graded commutative $\Bbbk$-algebra. A system of divided powers on A is a set of maps $(\gamma_r)_{r\geq 0}$ defined over the even degree part of A, and satisfying the following axioms:

(*a*) $\gamma_0(x) = 1$, $\gamma_1(x) = x$ and γ_k maps A_i into A_{ki} for $i \geq 2$.

(*b*) $\gamma_k(x)\gamma_\ell(x) = \binom{k+\ell}{k}\gamma_{k+\ell}(x)$.

(*c*) $\gamma_k(x + y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \gamma_i(x)\gamma_j(y)$.

(*d*) $\gamma_k(xy) \;= 0$ if $k \geq 2$ and x and y have odd degrees,
$\qquad\qquad = x^k\gamma_k(y)$ if $k \geq 2$ and $|x| \geq 2$ is even and $|y|$ is even.

(*e*) $\gamma_\ell(\gamma_k(x)) = \frac{(k\ell)!}{\ell!(k!)^\ell}\gamma_{k\ell}(x)$.

A morphism of algebras $f : A \to B$ preserves divided powers if $\gamma_k(f(x)) = f(\gamma_k(x))$ for all k.

Observe that the maps γ_r are not $\Bbbk$-linear: actually, equation (b) implies that $k!\gamma_k(x) = x^k$ (This is the reason for the name 'divided powers'). In particular, if $\Bbbk$ is a characteristic zero ring, and A is free as a $\Bbbk$-module, there is at most one system of divided powers on A. The following result is proved in [Car, Exp 8, Section 4].

Theorem 9.2 ([Car])**.** *Let V be a graded free $\Bbbk$-module. There exists a unique system of divided powers on the graded algebra $U(V) = \Gamma(V_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(V_{\mathrm{odd}})$ such that $\gamma_k(x) = x^{\otimes k}$ for $x \in V_{\mathrm{even}}$ and $k \geq 0$.*

Moreover, for all commutative graded $\Bbbk$-algebra A equipped with divided powers, and all graded $\Bbbk$-linear map $f : V \to A$, there exists a unique morphism of algebras $\overline{f} : U(V) \to A$ extending f and preserving divided powers.

Theorem 9.2 is a mean to construct morphisms of algebras with a very big image (compare with the image of the map induced by the universal property of the free graded commutative algebra on V). However, theorem 9.2 is no so efficient in characteristic 2. For example, the graded $\Bbbk$-algebra $\Gamma(V)$ is graded commutative even if V is concentrated in odd degree, and in that case, theorem 9.2 yields a morphism: $\Lambda(V_{\mathrm{odd}}) \to \Gamma(V_{\mathrm{odd}})$ with quite a small image. To bypass this problem, there is a stronger notion of divided powers when A is a strictly anticommutative algebra (i.e. it is graded commutative and moreover $x^2 = 0$ if x has odd degree). To avoid confusion, we call this notion 'strong divided powers', although this notion is simply called 'divided powers' in literature.

Definition 9.3. Let A be a strictly anticommutative graded $\Bbbk$-algebra, for $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 2. A system of strong divided powers is a collection of maps $(\gamma_r)_{r\geq 0}$ defined over the part of positive degree of A and satisfying equations (a)-(e) above.

With this stronger notion of divided powers, theorem 9.2 becomes [Car, Exp 8, Thm 2 bis]:

Theorem 9.4 ([Car])**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be a ring of characteristic 2. Let V be a graded free $\Bbbk$-module. There exists a unique system of strong divided powers on the graded algebra $\Gamma(V)$ such that $\gamma_k(x) = x^{\otimes k}$ for $x \in V$ and $k \geq 0$.*

Moreover, for all strictly anticommutative graded $\Bbbk$-algebra A equipped with strong divided powers, and all graded $\Bbbk$-linear map $f : V \to A$, there exists a unique morphism of algebras $\overline{f} : U(V) \to A$ extending f and preserving divided powers.

9.2. **Homology operations in bar constructions.** Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, and let A be a cdg-$\Bbbk$-algebra. The homology of $\overline{B}^n A$ is equipped with the following homology operations, natural with respect to A.

(1) **Suspension.** For all $n \geq 0$ and all $k \geq 0$, there is a $\Bbbk$-linear suspension operation [Car, Exp 6, sections 1 and 2]

$$\sigma : H_k(\overline{B}^n A) \to H_{k+1}(\overline{B}^{n+1} A) .$$

(2) **Transpotence.** Assume $\Bbbk$ has prime characteristic $p \neq 2$. Then for all $n \geq 1$ and all $k \geq 1$ there is an additive (hence $\Bbbk$-linear if $\Bbbk = \mathbb{F}_p$) transpotence operation [Car, Exp 6, section 4]
$$\phi_p : H_{2k}(\overline{B}^n A) \to H_{p2k+2}(\overline{B}^{n+1} A) \ .$$

(3) **Divided powers.** Assume that A is strictly anticommutative[4] (i.e. $a^2 = 0$ for a of odd degree). Then for all $n \geq 1$, there is a system of divided powers $(\gamma_r)_{r\geq 0}$ on $H(\overline{B}^n A)$ [Car, Exp 7, Thm 1 and section 5]. If $\Bbbk$ has characteristic 2, there is a system of strong divided powers on $H(\overline{B}^n A)$ [Car, Exp 7, section 8].

In our computation of $\overline{B}(\Gamma[2])$ in theorem 9.13, we shall need the following complement on homological operations.

Proposition 9.5. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring, and let A be a cdg-$\Bbbk$-algebra with weights. The homology of $\overline{B}^n(A)$ is a wg-$\Bbbk$-algebra, and the homological operations have the following behaviour with respect to weights. The suspension preserves the weights. The transpotence ϕ_p multiplies the weights by p, and the divided power operation γ_r multiplies the weights by r.*

Proof. To prove proposition 9.5, we have to go back to the definition of the homology operations. Let us denote by C the wdg-$\Bbbk$-algebra $C = \overline{B}^n A$. Let us first treat the case of the suspension. It is defined on the chain level by the map $C \hookrightarrow \overline{B}C$ $c \mapsto [c]$. So it preserves the weights.

The cases of the transpotence and divided powers are slightly more involved. Recall from [Car, Exposes 3 et 4] that there is a wdg-$\Bbbk$-algebra BC characterized by the following properties.

(i) $BC := C \otimes \overline{B}C$ as a graded algebra with weights. Thus, both C and $\overline{B}C$ can be viewed as weighted graded subalgebras of BC.

(ii) BC is equipped with a weight-preserving differential ∂, such that following maps are morphisms of wdg-$\Bbbk$-algebras:
$$C \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes 1} BC \ , \quad BC \xrightarrow{\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{Id}} \overline{B}C \ .$$

(B) For all $k \geq 1$, the composite $\overline{B}C_{k+1} \hookrightarrow BC_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} BC_k$ is injective and induces an isomorphism $\overline{B}C_{k+1} \simeq Z(BC)_k$ onto the cycles of degree k of BC (in particular, $H_i(BC) = 0$ for $i > 0$).

The transpotence ϕ_p is defined on the chain level as follows [Car, p. 6-05]. Let $c \in ZC_{2k} \subset Z(BC)_{2k}$ be a cycle representing a cohomology class $\alpha \in H_{2k}(C)$. There is an $x \in \overline{B}C_{2k}$ such that $\partial x = c$. Then there is an element $y \in Z(\overline{B}C)_{p2k+2}$ representing $\phi_p(\alpha)$ which satisfies $\partial y = c^{p-1} * \partial x$ ($*$ denotes the product in BC). So the weight $w(\phi_p(\alpha))$ of $\phi_p(\alpha)$ satisfies:
$$w(\phi_p(\alpha)) = w(y) = w(c^{p-1}) + w(x) = pw(c) = pw(\alpha) \ .$$

The divided powers are defined on the chain level $\overline{B}C$ by [Car, Exp 7, proof of Thm 1]: $\gamma_1(c) = c$ and $\partial\gamma_r(c) = \partial c * \gamma_{r-1}(c)$ for $r \geq 1$. Since ∂ preserves the weights $w(\gamma_r(c)) = w(c) + w(\gamma_{r-1}(c))$ for $r \geq 1$ and the result follows by induction on r. □

---

[4] This applies to $\overline{B}A$, for A graded commutative

9.3. **Cartan's result.** We are now ready to state Cartan's computation of $H_*^{\mathrm{sing}}(K(\pi,n),\mathbb{F}_p)$. In view of corollary 7.8, we state the results in terms of the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$. Let us assume first that $p\neq 2$.

Definition 9.6. A *p-admissible word*[5] is a finite sequence $\underline{w}$ of letters σ, ϕ_p and γ_p starting on the left with the letter σ or ϕ_p, and finishing on the right with the letter σ, satisfying the following property. For all letter ϕ_p or γ_p of W, the number of σ on the right of the letter is even.

The *height* of a word $\underline{w}$ is the number of letters equal to σ of ϕ_p in $\underline{w}$. The *degree* $\deg(\underline{w})$ of a word $\underline{w}$ is defined recursively by $\deg(\alpha)=0$ if α is empty, and

$$\deg(\sigma\alpha)=1+\deg(\alpha)\ ,\quad \deg(\gamma_p\alpha)=p\deg(\alpha)\ ,\quad \deg(\phi_p\alpha)=p\deg(\alpha)+2\ .$$

Example 9.7. The p-admissible words of height 3 are the words:

$$\sigma\gamma_p^k\sigma\sigma\ ,k\geq 0\ ,\qquad\text{and}\qquad \phi_p\gamma_p^k\sigma\sigma\ ,k\geq 0\ .$$

(by convention γ_p^k is empty if $k=0$). Moreover, $\deg(\sigma\gamma_p^k\sigma\sigma)=2p^k+1$ and $\deg(\phi_p\gamma_p^k\sigma\sigma)=2p^{k+1}+2$. The p-admissible words of height 4 are the words:

$$\sigma\sigma\gamma_p^k\sigma\sigma\ ,\ \sigma\gamma_p^k\phi_p\gamma_p^\ell\sigma\sigma\ ,\ \text{and}\ \phi_p\gamma_p^k\phi_p\gamma_p^\ell\sigma\sigma\ ,\ \text{for}\ k,\ell\geq 0,$$

of respective degrees $2p^k+2$, $2p^{k+\ell+1}+2p^k+1$ and $2p^{k+\ell+2}+2p^{k+1}+2$.

Recall that if M is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space (or a graded functor), $U(M)$ denotes the universal divided power algebra over M, i.e. $U(M)=\Gamma(M_{\mathrm{even}})\otimes\Lambda(M_{\mathrm{odd}})$. Then we have [Car, Exp 9, théorème fondamental]:

Theorem 9.8 ([Car])**.** *Let p be an odd prime. For all $n\geq 0$, there is an isomorphism of graded $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-algebras*

$$H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))\simeq U\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I[\deg(\underline{w})]\right)\ ,$$

where the direct sum on the right hand side is taken over all p-admissible words $\underline{w}$ of height $n+2$ and $I[\deg(\underline{w})]$ means a copy of the identity functor $I:\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\to\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ placed in homological degree $\deg(\underline{w})$.

Actually, Cartan's result is more precise. It says that each copy of the identity functor on the right hand side is simply obtained by applying a suitable sequence of homology operations to $I[2]=(\Gamma[2])_2=H_2(\overline{B}^2S)$. For example, if $n=2$, the copy of I corresponding to the word $\sigma\phi_p\gamma_p^3\sigma\sigma$ is the image of $I[2]$ by the sequence of operations:

$$(\Gamma[2])_2\xrightarrow{\gamma_p^3}(\Gamma[2])_{2p^3}\xrightarrow{\phi_p}H_{2p^4+2}(\overline{B}(\Gamma[2]))\xrightarrow{\sigma}H_{2p^4+3}(\overline{B}^2(\Gamma[2])).$$

In general, the operations to be applied are the one needed to complete the final letters '$\sigma\sigma$' in order to obtain the word indexing the copy of the identity functor I considered, starting from the right to the left. This produces a morphism of graded functors:

---

[5] Such words are called 'mots admissibles de première espèce' in [Car, Exp 9, section 1]. We drop the words 'première espèce' in the definition since we shall not need the 'mots admissibles de deuxième espèce' in the article.

$$\bigoplus\nolimits_{\underline{w}} I[\deg(\underline{w})] \to H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))$$

and the isomorphism of theorem 9.8 is produced from this morphism and the universal property of U.

Let us now describe the case $p = 2$. This case is actually simpler. The definition of admissible words is modified as follows.

Definition 9.9. A 2-*admissible word* is a finite sequence $\underline{w}$ of letters σ, and γ_2 starting with the letter σ and finishing with the letters $\sigma\sigma$.

The *height* of a word $\underline{w}$ is the number of σ in $\underline{w}$. The *degree* $\deg(\underline{w})$ of a word $\underline{w}$ is defined recursively by $\deg(\alpha) = 0$ if α is empty, and $\deg(\sigma\alpha) = 1 + \deg(\alpha)$ and $\deg(\gamma_2\alpha) = 2\deg(\alpha)$.

Example 9.10. The 2-admissible words of height 3 are the words $\sigma\gamma_2^k\sigma\sigma$ with $k \geq 0$, and $\deg(\sigma\gamma_2^k\sigma\sigma) = 2^{k+1} + 1$. The 2-admissible words of height 4 are the words $\sigma\gamma_2^k\sigma\gamma_2^\ell\sigma\sigma$ for $k, \ell \geq 0$ of degree $2^{k+\ell+1} + 2^k + 1$.

The analogue of theorem 9.8 over $\mathbb{F}_2$ takes the following form [Car, Exp 10, théorème fondamental].

Theorem 9.11 ([Car])**.** *For all $n \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism of graded $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$-algebras*

$$H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])) \simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I[\deg(\underline{w})]\right) ,$$

where the direct sum on the right hand side is taken over all 2-admissible words $\underline{w}$ of height $n + 2$ and $I[\deg(\underline{w})]$ means a copy of the identity functor $I : \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ placed in homological degree $\deg(\underline{w})$.

9.4. **Homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$ over an arbitrary field.** We are now ready to prove the main result of section 9, namely the computation of the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$, as a strict polynomial algebra, and over an arbitrary field $\Bbbk$. We first need to introduce a definition.

Definition 9.12. Let $\underline{w}$ be a p-admissible word (p even or odd). The *twisting* of a p-admissible word $\underline{w}$ is the number of letters equal to ϕ_p or γ_p in $\underline{w}$. We denote the twisting of $\underline{w}$ by $t_{\underline{w}}$.

Theorem 9.13. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of positive characteristic p. If p is odd, we have an isomorphism of graded $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras:*

$$H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])) \simeq U\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I^{(t_{\underline{w}})}[\deg(\underline{w})]\right) ,$$

where the sum is taken over all p-admissible words $\underline{w}$ of height $n + 2$, and $I^{(t_{\underline{w}})}[\deg(W)]$ denotes a copy of the $t_{\underline{w}}$-th Frobenius twist functor, placed in homological degree $\deg(\underline{w})$. If $p = 2$ we have an isomorphism of graded $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras:

$$H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])) \simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I^{(t_{\underline{w}})}[\deg(\underline{w})]\right) ,$$

where the sum is taken over all 2-admissible words $\underline{w}$ of height $n + 2$.

Proof. Let us prove the case p odd (the case $p = 2$ is similar). We start with the case $\Bbbk = \mathbb{F}_p$. By theorem 9.8, the graded $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-algebra $\mathcal{U}H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))$ is isomorphic to $U\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I[\deg(\underline{w})]\right)$. Hence by theorem 8.3, the graded $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-algebra $H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))$ is of the form $U\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} I^{(r_{\underline{w}})}[\deg(\underline{w})]\right)$, where the $r_{\underline{w}}$ are nonnegative integers which we have to determine. Actually, these integers are given by the weights of the $H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))$. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. By proposition 9.5 the morphism induced by the cohomology operations

$$U(\textstyle\bigoplus_{\underline{w}} V[\deg(\underline{w})]) \to H(\overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2]))$$

becomes a morphism of weighted graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras if we let the copy of V indexed by $\underline{w}$ have weight $t_{\underline{w}}$. Hence, the weighted graded algebra structure of $H(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2]))$ implies that $r_{\underline{w}} = t_{\underline{w}}$.

To get the result for all fields $\Bbbk$ it suffices to use the exact base change for strict polynomial functors (Notice: this feature is specific to *strict polynomial* functors!). If $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic p, there is an exact functor [SFB, Prop 2.6]: $\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Bbbk : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \to \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$. This base change functor commutes with tensor products and sends divided powers to divided powers and Frobenius twists to Frobenius twists. Whence the result. □

Remark 9.14. At first sight, the result for $p = 2$ is quite different from the result for p odd. However, if $p = 2$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$, there is an isomorphism of graded algebras with weights (not natural with respect to V):

$$\Gamma(V^{(r)}[i]) \simeq \Lambda(V^{(r)}[i]) \otimes \Gamma(V^{(r+1)}[2i])$$

Indeed, we can prove it if $V = \mathbb{F}_2$ by direct inspection, and get the general result by the exponential formula. In particular, if $p = 2$, the first isomorphism of theorem 9.13 becomes valid after evaluation on V, as an isomorphism of graded algebra with weights (hence without naturality with respect to V).

9.5. **An alternative method to compute $\overline{B}(\Gamma[2])$ over a field.** For the sake of completeness, we now sketch an alternative proof of theorem 9.13 for the special case $n = 1$.

The homology of the (reduced, unnormalized) cobar construction of the algebra of polynomials $\Bbbk[V] = S(V^\vee[0])$ computes the rational cohomology $H^*(V_a, \Bbbk)$ of the additive group V_a with trivial coefficients (the symbol '$^\vee$' denotes $\Bbbk$-linear duality). These homology groups were originally computed for $V = \Bbbk$ in [CPSvdK], and the result for all V is given in in [J, I Chap. 4]. They are equal, as a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra, to

$$\Lambda\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 0} V^{\vee\,(r)}[1]\right) \otimes S\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 1} V^{\vee\,(r)}[2]\right) \quad \text{if } p > 2,$$
$$S\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 0} V^{\vee\,(r)}[1]\right) \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

Let C be a cocommutative differential graded coalgebra over $\Bbbk$ with unit $\eta : \Bbbk \to C$ (e.g. $C = \Bbbk[V]$). The reduced normalized cobar construction (based on $\overline{C} = C/\mathrm{Im}\eta$) and the reduced unnormalized cobar construction (based on C) are quasi isomorphic. So we can assume that we work with the reduced normalized cobar construction, which we denote by ΩC. Moreover, the shuffle coproduct makes the cobar construction into a Hopf algebra. In fact, the computation of $H^*(\Omega\Bbbk[V])$ made in [J, I Chap. 4] is valid as a Hopf algebra (indeed, it suffices to check on the generators of the algebra that the coalgebra structures coincide, and this check is straightforward from the explicit expressions of the cycles given in [J, I 4.21 and 4.22]). Now the restricted dual of $\Omega\Bbbk[V]$ equals $\overline{B}(\Gamma(V^\vee[0]))$ as a differential graded Hopf algebra. Since $\Bbbk$ is a field, the homology of $\overline{B}(\Gamma(V^\vee[0]))$ is nothing but the dual of $H^*(\Omega\Bbbk[V])$ as a Hopf algebra. Thus we retrieve that the homology of $\overline{B}(\Gamma(V^\vee[0]))$ equals:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 0} V^{\vee\,(r)}[1]\right)\otimes\Gamma\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 1} V^{\vee\,(r)}[2]\right) \quad \text{if } p>2,\\ &\Gamma\left(\textstyle\bigoplus_{r\geq 0} V^{\vee\,(r)}[1]\right) \quad \text{if } p=2.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $V^\vee$ by V and applying the regrading functor $\mathcal{R}_{-2}$, we recover the homology of bar construction of $\Gamma(V[2])$ as a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra (it is the 'same result', but each generator space $V^{(r)}[1]$, resp. $V^{(r)}[2]$ has to be replaced by $V^{(r)}[2p^r+1]$, resp. $V^{(r)}[2p^r+2]$).

9.6. **Ext-computations over a field.** Combining theorem 9.13 and theorem 7.4, we obtain the following computations of the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$. Comparison with earlier computations of these algebras by other authors is made in section 14.3.

Theorem 9.15. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of odd characteristic p, and let V be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector space. Let us denote by $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$ a copy of the k-th Frobenius twist functor, placed in cohomological degree i (thus, $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle = I^{(k)}[-i]$). We have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:*

$$\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)\simeq\ \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k)}\langle p^k-1\rangle\right)\otimes^1\ {}^{\mathrm{t}}\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k+1)}\langle p^{k+1}-2\rangle\right)\ ,$$

where $A\otimes^1 B$ denotes the 'signed tensor product' of two $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras and ${}^{\mathrm{t}}A$ denotes the weight twisted algebra associated to A, as defined in section 4.3. We also have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)\simeq\\ &\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} V^{(k)}\langle 2p^k-2\rangle\right)\otimes\Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0,\ell\geq 0} V^{(k+\ell+1)}\langle 2p^{k+\ell+1}-2p^k-1\rangle\right)\\ &\qquad\otimes\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0,\ell\geq 0} V^{(k+\ell+2)}\langle 2p^{k+\ell+2}-2p^{k+1}-2\rangle\right)\ .\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove the first isomorphism, the second one is similar. Theorem 7.4 yields an isomorphism between $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)$ and ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_3(H\overline{B}(\Gamma[2]))$. The homology of $\overline{B}(\Gamma[2])$ is computed in theorem 9.13, and applying the computation rules for $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ developed in section 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{t}\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda) =&\mathcal{R}_3\Lambda(\textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k)}[2p^k+1])\otimes^1\mathcal{R}_3\Gamma(\textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k+1)}[2p^{k+1}+2])\ ,\\ =&{}^{t}\Lambda(\textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k)}[-p^k+1])\otimes^1\Gamma(\textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k+1)}[-p^{k+1}+2])\ .\end{aligned}$$

Using $I^{(k)}[-i] = I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$, we obtain the result. □

Theorem 9.16. *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a field of characteristic* $p = 2$, *and let* V *be a finite dimensional* $\Bbbk$*-vector space. Let us denote by* $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$ *a copy of the* k*-th Frobenius twist functor, placed in cohomological degree* i. *We have isomorphisms of* $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$*-graded algebras:*

$$\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k)}\langle p^k-1\rangle\right)\ ,\quad \mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0,\ell\geq 0} I^{(k+\ell)}\langle 2p^{k+\ell}-p^k-1\rangle\right)\ .$$

10. Explicit computations over the integers

In this section, we work over the ground ring $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Z}$. We elaborate on Cartan's computation of the homology of EML-spaces with integral coefficients to compute the homology of $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$. Actually, Cartan made two descriptions of the integral homology of EML spaces $K(\pi, n)$: a compact description, which is not natural with respect to π (this is [Car, Exp 11, theoreme 1]), and a description by generators and relations, which is natural with respect to π, but unfortunately quite complicated (this is [Car, Exp 11, theoreme 6]). For the sake of simplicity, we have chosen to use the compact description of the homology of EML spaces, therefore we only compute the homology of $\overline{B}^n\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^m[2])$ as graded algebras with weights. As a corollary, we compute $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ as wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras.

10.1. Dual Koszul and De Rham algebras.

If V is a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we denote by $V[j]$ its homological suspension, that is, $V[j]_i := V_{i-j}$.

Definition 10.1 (Dual Koszul algebra)**.** Let V be a positively graded $\mathbb{Z}$-free module concentrated in odd degrees. Then $\Gamma(V[1])\otimes\Lambda(V)$ is a commutative graded-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. If h is a positive integer, we define a differential d_K as the composite:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^n(V[1])\otimes\Lambda^k(V) &\xrightarrow{\Delta\otimes\mathrm{Id}}\Gamma^{n-1}(V[1])\otimes V[1]\otimes\Lambda^k(V)\\ &\xrightarrow{h\mathrm{Id}}\Gamma^{n-1}(V[1])\otimes V\otimes\Lambda^k(V)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes m}\Gamma^{n-1}(V[1])\otimes\Lambda^{k+1}(V)\ .\end{aligned}$$

This makes $(\Gamma(V[1])\otimes\Lambda(V), d_K)$ into a commutative differential graded algebra which we call the 'dual Koszul algebra'. We denote it by $K^h(V)$.

If $h = 1$, $K^h(V)$ is the graded dual of the usual Koszul algebra, see e.g. [FFSS, Section 4], whence the name. If V is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ in odd degree, then $K^h(V)$ is nothing but an elementary complex of type (II) from [Car, Exp 11]. Dual Koszul algebras satisfy an exponential property: the following composite (where the first map is induced by the canonical inclusions into $V\oplus W$) is an isomorphism of differential graded algebras

$$K^h(V)\otimes K^h(W)\to K^h(V\oplus W)^{\otimes 2}\xrightarrow{m} K^h(V\oplus W)\ .$$

Finally, we observe that when V is equipped with weights, $K^h(V)$ is canonically made into a wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.

Assume now that V is concentrated in even degrees. We can adapt the definition of the dual Koszul algebra by exchanging the roles of exterior and divided powers. This yields the dual De Rham algebra $\Omega^h(V)$.

Definition 10.2 (Dual De Rham algebra)**.** Let V be a positively graded $\mathbb{Z}$- free module, and let h be an integer. The dual De Rham algebra $\Omega^h(V)$ is the cdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra which equals $\Gamma(V)\otimes\Lambda(V[1])$ as a commutative graded algebra and whose differential equals the composite

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^n(V)\otimes\Lambda^k(V[1]) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes\Delta}\Gamma^n(V)\otimes V[1]\otimes\Lambda^{k-1}(V[1])\\ &\xrightarrow{h\mathrm{Id}}\Gamma^n(V)\otimes V\otimes\Lambda^{k-1}(V[1])\xrightarrow{m\otimes\mathrm{Id}}\Gamma^{n+1}(V)\otimes\Lambda^{k-1}(V[1])\;.\end{aligned}$$

If $h=1$, $\Omega^h(V)$ is the graded dual of the usual De Rham algebra, and if V is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ in even degree, it is an elementary complex of type (II) from [Car, Exp 11]. There are isomorphisms of dg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras $\Omega^h(V)\otimes\Omega^h(W)\simeq\Omega^h(V\oplus W)$, and when V is equipped with weights, $\Omega^h(V)$ becomes a wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.

10.2. **Cartan's result.** We fix a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $V=\mathbb{Z}^m$. and a positive integer n. We are going to present Cartan's computation of the homology of EML spaces from [Car, Exp. 11, Theoreme 1]. Recall from definition 9.6 the p-admissible words of height n attached to a prime p (here we also use definition 9.6 when $p=2$). The word σ^n is p-admissible of height n, and the other p-admissible words of height n can be grouped in a unique way into pairs of the form $(\sigma^{k+1}\gamma_p\alpha,\sigma^k\phi_p\alpha)$, where α is denotes a word and $k\geq 0$. In such a pair, the degrees of the two words differ by one: $\deg(\sigma^k\phi_p\alpha)=\deg(\sigma^{k+1}\gamma_p\alpha)+1$.

Definition 10.3. We call *p-pair of height* n a pair of p-admissible words of height n of the form $\underline{\pi}=(\sigma^{k+1}\gamma_p\alpha,\sigma^k\phi_p\alpha)$ (where α is a word, and $k\geq 0$). The *degree* of the pair $\underline{\pi}$ is the lowest degree of the words of the pair, that is $\deg(\underline{\pi})=\deg(\sigma^{k+1}\gamma_p\alpha)$.

Example 10.4. If $n=3$, the p-pairs of height n are the pairs

$$\underline{\pi}_k=(\sigma\gamma_p^{k+1}\sigma^2\;,\;\phi_p\gamma_p^k\sigma^2)\;,\qquad\text{for } k\geq 0,$$

and $\deg(\underline{\pi}_k)=2p^{k+1}+1$. If $n=4$ the p-pairs of height n are the pairs

$$\begin{aligned}&\underline{\pi}_\ell=(\sigma^2\gamma_p^{\ell+1}\sigma^2,\sigma\phi_p\gamma_p^\ell\sigma^2)\;, &&\text{for } \ell\geq 0,\\ &\underline{\pi}_{k,\ell}=(\sigma\gamma_p^{k+1}\phi_p\gamma_p^\ell\sigma^2\;,\;\phi_p\gamma_p^k\phi_p\gamma_p^\ell\sigma^2)\;, &&\text{for } k\geq 0,\ell\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

and their degrees are $\deg(\underline{\pi}_\ell)=2p^{\ell+1}+2$, $\deg(\underline{\pi}_{k,\ell})=2p^{\ell+k+2}+2p^{k+1}+1$.

Definition 10.5. We denote by $X_p^{[n]}$ the cdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra defined by:

$$X_p^{[n]}=K^p\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\pi}}V[\deg(\underline{\pi})]\right)\otimes\Omega^p\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\pi}'}V[\deg(\underline{\pi}')]\right)\;,$$

where the first direct sum is taken over all the p-pairs $\underline{\pi}$ of height n and odd degree, and the second one is taken over all the p-pairs $\underline{\pi}'$ of height n and even degree. We also denote by $X_0^{[n]}$ the cdg-$\mathbb{k}$-algebra which equals $\Lambda(V[n])$ if n is odd and $\Gamma(V[n])$ if n is even, with trivial differential.

Let us denote by ${}_pM$ the p-primary part of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module M:

$${}_pM=\{m\in M\;;\exists k\;p^km=0\}\;.$$

The homology of $X_p^{[n]}$ equals $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree zero, so its p-primary part ${}_pH(X_p^{[n]})$ is a graded subalgebra of $H(X_p^{[n]})$ without unit (it is concentrated in positive degrees). We make it into a unital $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\widehat{{}_pH}(X_p^{[n]})$ in the canonical way:

$$\widehat{{}_pH_0}(X_p^{[n]}) = \mathbb{Z}\,, \qquad \widehat{{}_pH_i}(X_p^{[n]}) = {}_pH_i(X_p^{[n]}) \text{ for } i > 0.$$

In view of corollary 7.8, Cartan's computation of the integral homology of the EML-spaces $K(\mathbb{Z}^m, n+2)$ can be formulated in the following way.

Theorem 10.6 ([Car, Exp. 11, Theoreme 1]). *Let n be a positive integer, let $V = \mathbb{Z}^m$ be a free abelian group. The homology of $\overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2])$ is isomorphic as a graded algebra, to the tensor product*

$$X_0^{[n+2]} \otimes \bigotimes_{p \text{ prime}} \widehat{{}_pH}(X_p^{[n+2]})\,.$$

10.3. **Computation of weights.** The $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-dg-algebra $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma[2])$ becomes a wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra after evaluation on $V = \mathbb{Z}^m$, so the homology of $\overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2])$ is equipped with weights. We are now going to supplement Cartan's result by describing these weights.

Definition 10.7. Let $\underline{\pi}$ be a p-pair. The *weight* of $\underline{\pi}$ is the integer $w(\underline{\pi})$ defined by $w(\underline{\pi}) = p^{t_{\underline{\pi}}}$, where $t_{\underline{\pi}}$ is the number of letters which equal ϕ_p or γ_p in one of the two words of $\underline{\pi}$ (compare definition 9.12).

Example 10.8. We keep the notations of example 10.4. For the p-pairs of height $n = 3$, we have $w(\underline{\pi}_k) = p^{k+1}$. For the p-pairs of height 4 we have: $w(\underline{\pi}_\ell) = p^{\ell+1}$ and $w(\underline{\pi}_{k,\ell}) = p^{k+\ell+2}$.

Theorem 10.9. *Let n be a nonnegative integer, let $V = \mathbb{Z}^m$ be a free abelian group. There is an isomorphism of graded algebras with weights*

$$X_0^{[n+2]} \otimes \bigotimes_{p \text{ prime}} \widehat{{}_pH}(X_p^{[n+2]}) \simeq H\left(\overline{B}^n(\Gamma(V[2]))\right)\,.$$

On the left hand side, $X_p^{[n+2]}$ denotes the wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra defined by:

$$X_p^{[n+2]} = K^p\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\pi}} V_{w(\underline{\pi})}[\deg(\underline{\pi})]\right) \otimes \Omega^p\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\pi}'} V_{w(\underline{\pi}')}[\deg(\underline{\pi}')]\right)\,,$$

where $V_k[i]$ denotes a copy of V having weight k and degree i, and where the first direct sum is taken over a the p-pairs $\underline{\pi}$ of height $n+2$ and odd degree and the second direct sum is taken over the p-pairs $\underline{\pi}'$ of height $n+2$ and even degree. Moreover, $X_0^{[n+2]}$ equals $\Lambda(V_1[n+2])$ if n is odd, and $\Gamma(V_1[n+2])$ if n is even.

Before we prove theorem 10.9, we recall the universal property of dual Koszul and De Rham algebras. If W is a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module we denote by W_{odd}, resp. W_{even}, its summand of odd, resp. even, degree.

Lemma 10.10 (see [Car, Exp. 1, section 2]). *Let W be a positively graded $\mathbb{Z}$-free module, let h be a integer, and let $C^h(W)$ be the complex $W[1] \xrightarrow{h\mathrm{Id}} W$. This complex is a direct summand of $K^h(W_{\text{odd}}) \otimes \Omega^h(W_{\text{even}})$. Assume that A*

is a cdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, equipped with a system of divided powers, and free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. For all morphism of complexes $f : C^h(W) \to A$ there exists a unique morphism of cdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras $\overline{f}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^h(W) \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[d, hook] & & A \\
K^h(W_{\mathrm{odd}}) \otimes \Omega^h(W_{\mathrm{even}}) \arrow[urr, dashed, "\overline{f}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If W is equipped with weights and A is a cwdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, then $\overline{f}$ preserves the weights if and only if f does.

Proof. The morphism of algebra $\overline{f}$ is obtained by the universal property of the graded algebra with divided powers $K^h(W_{\mathrm{odd}}) \otimes \Omega^h(W_{\mathrm{even}}) = U(W[1] \oplus W)$ (see theorem 9.2). In particular $\overline{f}$ preserves the weights if and only if f does. So one has only to check that $\overline{f}$ commutes with the differentials. Using that $d(\gamma^k(x)) = dx \cdot \gamma^{k-1}x$ in $K^h(W_{\mathrm{odd}}) \otimes \Omega^h(W_{\mathrm{even}}) = U(W[1] \oplus W)$ and A, one reduces the proof that $\overline{f}$ commutes with differentials to the proof that the restriction of $\overline{f}$ to the generators of $K^h(W_{\mathrm{odd}}) \otimes \Omega^h(W_{\mathrm{even}}) = U(W[1] \oplus W)$ commutes with the differential. But this restriction is nothing but f. Whence the result. □

Proof of theorem 10.9. Denote by $H(V, n+2, \Bbbk)$ the singular homology of the EML space $K(V, n+2)$ with coefficients in $\Bbbk$. Let A be a differential graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra such that

(i) A is graded commutative, $\mathbb{Z}$-free, equipped with divided powers ,
(ii) The homology of A is isomorphic to $H(V, n+2, \mathbb{Z})$,
(iii) For all prime p, the homology of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-differential graded algebra $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is isomorphic to $H(V, n+2, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

To prove theorem 10.6, Cartan builds morphisms of dg-algebras

$$f_p : X_p^{[n+2]} \to A\,,$$

(for p zero or prime) which induce after taking homology the isomorphism

$$X_0^{[n+2]} \otimes \bigotimes\nolimits_{p \text{ prime}} \widehat{{}_pH}(X_p^{[n+2]}) \simeq H(V, n+2, \mathbb{Z})\ .$$

In Cartan's proof, the algebra A is $\overline{B}^{n+2}(\mathbb{Z}V)$, but any other algebra A satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) works as well in his argument, for example we can take $A = \overline{B}^n(\Gamma(V[2]))$.

So, to prove theorem 10.9, it suffices to prove that the morphisms f_p preserve weights when $A = \overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2])$ and when the weights on $X_p^{[n+2]}$ are as indicated in the statement of theorem 10.9.

Case of f_p, p prime. By the universal property of Dual Koszul and De Rham algebras from lemma 10.10, the construction of f_p (preserving weights) reduces to the construction for each p-pair $\underline{\pi}$ of a morphism of complexes (preserving weights)

$$f_p : C^p(V_{w(\underline{\pi})}[\deg(\underline{\pi})]) \to A$$

Fix a pair $\underline{\pi} = (\sigma^{k+1}\gamma_p\alpha, \sigma^k\phi_p\alpha)$ and a basis (v_i) of $V[\deg(\underline{\pi})]$. Denote by (w_i) the same basis, considered as a basis of $V[\deg(\underline{\pi})+1]$. So the complex

$C^p(V_{w(\underline{\pi})}[\deg(\underline{\pi})])$ equals

$$V[\deg(\underline{\pi})+1] \xrightarrow{d} V[\deg(\underline{\pi})]\ ,$$

with $d(w_i) = v_i$. Let $\overline{v_i}$ be the reduction modulo p of v_i, considered as an element of $V\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}_p[\deg(\sigma^k\phi_p\alpha)]$ which is the direct summand $H(V, n+2, \mathbb{F}_p)$ indexed by the p-admissible word $\sigma^k\phi_p\alpha$, cf. theorem 9.13. This summand is also well defined for $p = 2$ by remark 9.14. The morphism of complexes f_p is defined by Cartan as follows.

(a) The canonical map $A \to A\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}_p$ is surjective so we can find an element $f_p(w_i)\in A$ whose reduction modulo p is a cycle representing the homology class $\overline{v_i}\in H(V, n+2, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

(b) The reduction mod p of $f_p(w_i)$ is a cycle of $A\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}_p$, so $d(f_p(w_i))$ is divisible by p. We define $f_p(v_i)$ by the equality $pf_p(v_i) = d(f_p(w_i))$.

Take $A = \overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2])$. The canonical map $A \to A\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{F}_p$ preserves weights, so the weight of $f_p(w_i)$ is the same as the weight of $\overline{v}_i$, which equals $w(\underline{\pi})$ by theorem 9.13. Thus, the map f_p preserves weights.

Case of f_0. The morphism $f_0 : X_0^{[n+2]} \to \overline{B}^n(\Gamma(V[2]))$ is induced by the morphism $V_1[n+2] \xrightarrow{=} \overline{B}^n(\Gamma(V[2]))_{n+2}$ and the universal property of $X_0^{[n+2]} = U(V_1[n+2])$. So f_0 preserves weights (it is actually a morphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-dg-algebras). □

Theorem 10.9 yields an algorithm to compute the homology of $\overline{B}^n\Gamma(V[2])$. Indeed, the homology of the dual Koszul and De Rham algebras on a single generator can be computed by direct inspection.

(i) The homology of $K^h(\mathbb{Z}[2i-1])$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree 0 (and weight zero), $\mathbb{Z}/h\mathbb{Z}$ in degrees $d2i-1$ (and weight d) for $d\geq 0$, and zero elsewhere.

(ii) The homology of $\Omega^h(\mathbb{Z}[2i])$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree 0 (and weight zero), $\mathbb{Z}/dh\mathbb{Z}$ in degrees $d2i$ (and weight d) for $d \geq 0$, and zero elsewhere.

With the help of the exponential isomorphisms

$$K^h(V\oplus W)\simeq K^h(V)\otimes K^h(W)\ ,\qquad \text{and}\qquad \Omega^h(V\oplus W)\simeq\Omega^h(V)\otimes\Omega^h(W)\ ,$$

and iterative uses of the Künneth formula, one recovers the homology of the wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras $X_p^{[n+2]}$. Theses algebras have an infinite number of generators, but only a (relatively small) finite number of generators play a role in the computation of a summand of the homology with given weight or degree. Let us give the computation of the homogeneous part of weight 4 of the homology of $\overline{B}^n\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[2])$ for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.

Example 10.11. The homogeneous part of weight 4 of the homology of $\overline{B}\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[2])$ is given by the following table (it is zero outside the table).

degree	9	10	11
homology	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

The homogeneous part of weight 4 of the homology of $\overline{B}^2\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[2])$ is given by the following table (it is zero outside the table).

degree	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
homology	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}$

10.4. **The algebra of Koszul kernels.** We are now going to give a nicer formulation of theorem 10.9 when $n = 1$, using the algebra of Koszul kernels.

If W is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space concentrated in positive odd degrees, we denote by $K_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ the dual Koszul algebra over W, that is, the graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra $\Gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ (the index '$\mathbb{F}_p$' is put here to emphasize that we work in the realm of $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces. In particular, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1])$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ equal $\mathbb{F}_p$ in degree zero.) equipped with the Koszul differential

$$\Gamma^n_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1])\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Lambda^k_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W) \xrightarrow{\Delta\otimes\mathrm{Id}} \Gamma^{n-1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} W[1] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} \Gamma^{n-1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\otimes m} \Gamma^{n-1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1]) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda^{k+1}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W) \ .$$

The dual Koszul algebra is a cdg-$\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, it has weights if W is equipped with weights, and it is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$-graded algebra if W is a graded strict polynomial functor.

Definition 10.12. Let W be a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space concentrated in positive odd degrees. The algebra of Koszul kernels $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ is the commutative graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra defined by: $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and in positive degrees $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ equals the cycles of positive degree of the dual Koszul algebra $K_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$.

Lemma 10.13. *Let V be a graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module with weights, concentrated in odd degrees and $\mathbb{Z}$-free of finite rank in each degree. Put the weights on V/pV such that the epimorphism $V \twoheadrightarrow V/pV$ preserves weights. There is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with weights:*

$$H(K^p(V)) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/pV) \ .$$

Proof. In degree zero the assertion reduces to $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ which is true. So let us look at positive degrees. The homology of the algebra $K^1(V)$ is zero in positive degrees (use the exponential formula and the Künneth isomorphism to reduce to the case when V has rank one). Since the differential ∂ of $K^p(V)$ is p times the differential of $K^1(V)$, we have:

$$H(K^p(V)) = (\mathrm{Im}\partial)/p(\mathrm{Im}\partial) \simeq (\mathrm{Im}\partial) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \simeq \mathrm{Im}(\partial \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) \ .$$

But $K_1(V) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \simeq K_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/pV)$ so the latter equals $\mathrm{Im}(d)$ where d is the differential of $K_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/pV)$. Finally, since $K_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/pV)$ is exact in positive degrees (use the exponential formula and the Künneth isomorphism to reduce to the case when V has rank one), $\mathrm{Im}(d) = \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/pV)$. □

Using lemma 10.13, the case $n = 1$ of theorem 10.9 can be reformulated in the following way.

Theorem 10.14. *Let $V[2]$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank concentrated in degree 2 and weight one. The homology of $\overline{B}(\Gamma(V[2]))$ is isomorphic to the graded algebra with weights (where $(V/pV)^{(r)}[k]$ denotes a copy of V with degree k and weight p^r):*

$$\Lambda(V[3]) \otimes \bigotimes_{p\ prime} \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(V/pV)^{(k+1)}[2p^{k+1}+1]\right) \ .$$

Observe that the graded algebra with weights appearing in theorem 10.14 is actually a graded $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-algebra. We do not claim in theorem 10.14 that the isomorphism is an isomorphism of graded $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-algebras, but however we conjecture that this is the case, at least for the p-primary part when p is odd. The proof of this conjecture (and further developments) is a work in progress with L. Breen and R. Mikhailov [BMT].

10.5. **Ext-computations over the integers.** By theorem 7.4 the graded algebras with weights $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ and $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ are simply obtained from $\overline{B}^n(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^m[2]))$ by regrading. For instance, we obtain the following Ext-computation from example 10.11.

Example 10.15. The groups $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^4,\Lambda^4)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^4,\Gamma^4)$ are given by the following tables (they are zero outside the table).

degree i	0	1	2	3
$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^4,\Lambda^4)$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

degree i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^4,\Gamma^4)$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

We can also give general formulas by regrading theorems 10.9 and 10.14. If W is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-module with weights concentrated in even degrees, we let $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ be the wg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra which equals $\mathbb{Z}$ in degree zero and which equals the subalgebra of cycles of the wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra ${}^{t}\Gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W[1])\otimes^1\Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ (equipped with the Koszul differential) in positive degrees. So $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ is equal to the algebra of Koszul kernels $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ if $p=2$, and it differs from $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$ by signs in the multiplication if p is odd. Moreover:

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{R}_3V)=\mathcal{R}_3(\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V))$$

when V is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-module with weights concentrated in odd degrees and odd weights, or when $p=2$. Thus, theorem 10.14 and theorem 7.4 yield the following computation of $\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$.

Theorem 10.16. *Let $\mathbb{Z}^m$ be a free abelian group. There is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with weights*

$$\mathbb{E}(S,\Lambda)(\mathbb{Z}^m)\simeq\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}^m\langle 0\rangle)\otimes^1\bigotimes_{p\ prime}{}^{1}\,\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z}^m/p\mathbb{Z}^m)^{(k+1)}\langle p^{k+1}-1\rangle\right).$$

On the right hand side, $\mathbb{Z}^m\langle 0\rangle$ is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ having degree zero and weight 1, and $(\mathbb{Z}^m/p\mathbb{Z}^m)^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$ denotes a copy of $\mathbb{Z}^m/p\mathbb{Z}^m$ having cohomological degree i and weight p^k.

We can easily extract very explicit computations from theorem 10.16. To illustrate this, we give the values of $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^n,\Lambda^n)$ in low degrees (for $i=1$ they were first computed in [A, section 4]).

Example 10.17. We have the following Ext-computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^n,\Lambda^n)=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\text{ if }n\geq 2,\text{ and zero if }n\leq 1,\\
&\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^n,\Lambda^n)=\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\text{ if }n=3,4\text{ and zero otherwise },\\
&\mathrm{Ext}^3_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(S^n,\Lambda^n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl} 0 & \text{if } n\leq 3,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n=6,7,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.}\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}$$

Using theorems 10.14 and theorem 7.4, we also obtain a computation of the graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with weights $\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z}^m)$.

Theorem 10.18. *Let $\mathbb{Z}^m$ be a free abelian group. There is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with weights*

$$\mathbb{E}(S,\Gamma)(\mathbb{Z}^m)\simeq\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^m\langle 0\rangle)\otimes\bigotimes_{p\ prime}\widehat{{}_pH}(\widetilde{X}_p).$$

On the right hand side, $\widetilde{X}_p$ is the wdg-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra defined as the tensor product:

$$K^p\left(\bigoplus_{k,\ell\geq 0}{\mathbb{Z}^m}_{p^{k+\ell+2}}\langle 2p^{k+\ell+2}-2p^{k+1}-1\rangle\right)\otimes\Omega^p\left(\bigoplus_{\ell\geq 0}{\mathbb{Z}^m}_{p^{\ell+1}}\langle 2p^{k+1}-2\rangle\right),$$

where ${\mathbb{Z}^m}_k\langle i\rangle$ denotes a copy of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ having weight k and cohomological degree i (or equivalently homological degree $-i$).

Part 4. Frobenius twists

In this part, $\Bbbk$ is a field of prime characteristic p. We compute the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$, when X and Y are classical exponential functors and r,s are nonnegative integers. Our method offers a unified treatment of all the cases. We proceed in several steps.

In section 11, we show that the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras of the form $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$ are equal, up to a regrading, to the algebras $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)^{(t)}$.

In section 12, we use the twisting spectral sequence from [T2]. In our case, it is proved in [T2] that the spectral sequence collapses at the second page. As a consequence, we obtain that the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$ can be easily computed (up to a filtration) from $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r-s)},Y)$.

To finish the computation, we need the filtrations on $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$ to be trivial. This is the purpose of section 13, which is of independent interest. We prove that for some filtered $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra A with prescribed $\mathrm{Gr}A$, the filtration must split.

The final results are stated in section 14. Since the results are quite complicated, we examine their reliability by comparing them to existing results [FFSS, C2, J] (computed with different methods), and to elementary computations.

11. Frobenius twists and bar constructions

Let $A=\{A_{0,d}\}_{d\geq 0}$ be a $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebra. Recall the external product:

$$\mathbb{E}^i(F,A_{0,d})\otimes\mathbb{E}^j(G,A_{0,e})\to\mathbb{E}^{i+j}(F\otimes G,A_{0,d+e})$$

induced by taking tensor products of extensions and using the multiplication of A. In particular, if C is a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra, the convolution product of $\mathbb{E}(C,A)$ is obtained by combining this external product and the map induced by the comultiplication of C.

Proposition 11.1. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of prime characteristic p, let $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$, and let t be a nonnegative integer. For all integer i, there are isomorphisms of strict polynomial functors*[6]*, natural in F:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^i(F,S^d)^{(t)} &\simeq \mathbb{E}^i(F^{(t)},S^{dp^t})\ ,\\
\mathbb{E}^i(F,\Lambda^d)^{(t)} &\simeq \mathbb{E}^{i+(p^t-1)d}(F^{(t)},\Lambda^{dp^t})\ ,\\
\mathbb{E}^i(F,\Gamma^d)^{(t)} &\simeq \mathbb{E}^{i+2(p^t-1)d}(F^{(t)},\Gamma^{dp^t})\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $Y = S, \Lambda$ or Γ, the external product

$$\mathbb{E}^*(F,Y^d)^{(t)} \otimes \mathbb{E}^*(G,Y^e)^{(t)} \to \mathbb{E}^*(F\otimes G, Y^{d+e})^{(t)}$$

identifies through the isomorphism above with the external product:

$$\mathbb{E}^*(F^{(t)},Y^{dp^t}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^*(G^{(t)},Y^{ep^t}) \to \mathbb{E}^*(F^{(t)}\otimes G^{(t)}, Y^{dp^t+ep^t})\ .$$

Proof. Since there are no extension groups between homogeneous functors of different weights, we can assume that F is homogeneous of weight d. Let us recall an elementary computation in $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$. If $\mu = (\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n)$ is a tuple of positive integers, we denote by S^μ the tensor product $S^{\mu_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes S^{\mu_n}$, and by $\alpha\mu$ the tuple $\alpha\mu := (\alpha\mu_1,\dots,\alpha\mu_n)$. Then [T2, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3] yields isomorphisms for all $G \in \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$ (the first one is induced by precomposition by $I^{(t)}$, the second one by the canonical inclusion $S^{\mu\,(t)} \hookrightarrow S^{p^t\mu}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(G,S^\mu) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(G^{(t)},S^{\mu\,(t)})\ , && (i)\\
&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(G^{(t)},S^{\mu\,(t)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(G^{(t)},S^{p^t\mu})\ . && (ii)\\
&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(G^{(t)},S^{\lambda}) \simeq 0 \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is not of the form } p^t\mu. && (iii)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$, and let $G = F^{(V^{(t)})}$. Then $G^{(t)} = (F^{(t)})^V$, so isomorphisms $(i)-(iii)$ yield isomorphisms of strict polynomial functors:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{H}(F,S^\mu)^{(t)} \simeq \mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},S^{\mu(t)})\ , && (iv)\\
&\mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},S^{\mu(t)}) \simeq \mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},S^{p^t\mu})\ , && (v)\\
&\mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},S^{\lambda}) \simeq 0 \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is not of the form } p^t\mu\ . && (vi)
\end{aligned}$$

If $S^\mu = S^d$, isomorphisms (iv) and (v) commute with external products. Since symmetric powers are injectives, the composite of (iv) and (v) provides the required isomorphism for the case of $\mathbb{E}^*(F,S^d)^{(t)}$.

Now we turn to the case of $\mathbb{E}^*(F,\Lambda^d)^{(t)}$. We shall use bar constructions of symmetric algebras in the same fashion as in the proof of proposition 7.1. Recall that we have a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras $\Lambda[1] \hookrightarrow \overline{B}S$. Using the regrading functor, we get a quasi-isomorphism $\Lambda \hookrightarrow {}^t\mathcal{R}_1(\overline{B}S)$. Denote by ${}^t\mathcal{R}_1 I_d$ the homogeneous part of weight d of the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebra ${}^t\mathcal{R}_1(\overline{B}S)$.

---

[6] In the statement, we take the convention that $\mathbb{E}^i(F,G) = 0$ for $i < 0$. To emphasize the analogy between the three cases, we have also written $\mathbb{E}^i(F,S^d)$ although these extension groups actually reduce to $\mathbb{H}(F,S^d)$ since symmetric powers are injective.

This is a complex of strict polynomial functors, actually a coresolution of Λ^d by symmetric powers. Thus $\mathbb{E}^i(F^{(t)},\Lambda^{dp^t})$ is the $(-i)$-th homology group of $\mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1 I_{dp^t})$.

The morphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $S^{(t)}\hookrightarrow S$ (which maps $S^{d(t)}$ into S^{dp^t}) induces a morphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras $\overline{B}S^{(t)}\hookrightarrow \overline{B}S$, hence it induces morphisms ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1(I_d^{(t)})\to {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1 I_{dp^t}$. We observe that there is an equality of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-dg-algebras $\mathcal{R}_1(\overline{B}S^{(t)}) = (\mathcal{R}_{p^t}(\overline{B}S))^{(t)}$. Thus we have a map, natural with respect to F:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}(F,{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{p^t}I_d)^{(t)} &\simeq \mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{p^t}I_d)^{(t)})\\ &= \mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1(I_d^{(t)}))\to \mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1 I_{dp^t})\ . \qquad (vii)\end{aligned}$$

The objects of the complex ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1 I_{dp^t}$ are symmetric tensors S^λ. If $\lambda = p^t\mu$, then there is a summand $S^{\mu\,(t)}$ of $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{-1}I_d)^{(t)}$ such that the restriction of the map ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{-1}(I_d^{(t)})\to {}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_{-1}I_{dp^t}$ to $S^{\mu\,(t)}$ is the canonical inclusion $S^{\mu\,(t)}\hookrightarrow S^{p^t\mu}$. This canonical inclusion induces an isomorphism after applying $\mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},-)$, by (v). If λ is not of the form $p^t\mu$, then $\mathbb{H}(F^{(t)},S^\lambda)\simeq 0$ by (vi). As a consequence, (vii) is an isomorphism of complexes. Taking the $(-i)$-th homology group, the right hand side of (vii) computes $\mathbb{E}^i(F^{(t)},\Lambda^{dp^t})$ and the left hand side computes $\mathbb{E}^{i-d(p^t-1)}(F,\Lambda^d)^{(t)}$. So, to prove that (vii) provides the required isomorphism, it remains to check the compatibility with external products. This is a straightforward check on the level of cochain complexes, similar to the identification of the convolution product in the proof proposition 7.1. The case of $\mathbb{E}^*(F,\Gamma^d)$ is similar. $\square$

As a straightforward consequence of proposition 11.1, we obtain the following result, which we formulate using the regrading functor $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ from section 6.

Corollary 11.2. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of positive characteristic p, let t be a positive integer, and let C be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-coalgebra and let Y be a classical exponential functor. There is an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras:*

$$\big(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha(Y)}\mathbb{E}(C,Y)\big)^{(t)}\simeq \mathbb{E}(C^{(t)},Y)\ ,$$

with $\alpha(S)=0$, $\alpha(\Lambda)=p^t-1$ and $\alpha(\Gamma)=2(p^t-1)$.

For the convenience of the reader, we write out explicitly two cases of application of corollary 11.2.

Example 11.3. **(1)** The divided powers Γ^d are projective strict polynomial functors, and the Yoneda lemma yields an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-algebras:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma,\Lambda)=\mathbb{H}(\Gamma,\Lambda)\simeq\Lambda\ .$$

Let $\Lambda\langle i\rangle^{(t)}$ denotes the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra with $\Lambda^{d\,(t)}$ in cohomological degree i and weight dp^t (hence $\Lambda\langle i\rangle^{(t)}=\Lambda[-i]^{(t)}$). Corollary 11.2 implies:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t)},\Lambda)\simeq\Lambda\langle p^t-1\rangle^{(t)}\ .$$

(2) Assume that $p=2$. Let $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$ denote a copy of the k-th Frobenius twist functor, placed in cohomological degree i (hence $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle = I^{(k)}[-i]$).

We computed $E(S,\Lambda)$ in theorem 9.16, so corollary 11.2 implies:

$$\mathbb{E}(S^{(t)},\Lambda)\simeq\Gamma\big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} I^{(k+t)}\langle p^{k+t}-1\rangle\big)\ .$$

12. The twisting spectral sequence and Troesch complexes

Let r,s be nonnegative integers, and assume that $t:=r-s$ is nonnegative. In this section we explain how to recover, up to a filtration, the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)},Y^{(s)})$ from the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$. This is a simple application of the results proved in [T2], but for the reader's convenience we recall here the results we need for our computation.

12.1. **Parametrization by graded vector spaces.** Let V be a finite dimensional graded vector space. The parameterized functor $F_V : W\mapsto F(V\otimes W)$ actually carries a grading, defined in the following way. Let the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ act rationally on a homogeneous vector $v\in V$ of degree i by the formula $\lambda\cdot v:=\lambda^i v$. Since F is a strict polynomial functor, this induces a rational action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $F_V(W)$ for all $W\in\mathcal{V}_{\Bbbk}$. Thus, F_V splits as a direct sum $F_V=\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(F_V)_i$, where $(F_V)_i(W)$ is the subspace of weight i of $F_V(W)$ under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

Thus, parametrization by a graded vector space V defines a weight-preserving functor ($\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}^*$ is the category of graded strict polynomial functors):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk} & \to & \mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}^*\\ F & \mapsto & F_V\end{array}\ .$$

Example 12.1. If F is a symmetric power, an exterior power, a divided power or a tensor product of these, the notion of degree defined on $F_V(W)$ coincides with the usual definition. For example, if V has a homogeneous basis formed by e_{-1} of degree -1 and e_4 of degree 4, and if w_i denote elements of W, the element $(e_{-1}\otimes w_1)(e_4\otimes w_2)\otimes(e_{-1}\otimes w_3)$ is an element of degree $-1+4-1=2$ of $(S^2\otimes S^1)_V(W)$.

The following example will also be important for our computations.

Example 12.2. If $F=I^{(r)}$ and $V=\oplus V_i$ then $(I^{(r)})_V=\oplus V_i^{(r)}\otimes I^{(r)}$, where the summand $V_i^{(r)}\otimes I^{(r)}$ is homogeneous of degree $p^r i$. In particular, the functors $(I_V)^{(r)}$ and $(I^{(r)})_V$ do not bear the same gradings, although they are isomorphic in an ungraded way.

For our purposes, we need to define parametrization of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras by graded vector spaces. Let A be a $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra and denote by $A_{i,d}$ the homogeneous part of A of degree i and weight d. The parameterized functor $(A_{i,d})_V$ has a weight and a bigrading. To be more specific, the first partial degree is the degree i coming from the grading of A, and the second partial degree is induced by the grading of V. To get rid of bidegrees, we define the degree on $(A_{i,d})_V$ as the total degree associated to the bidegree. In this way, parametrization parametrization by a graded vector space V defines a functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-g-alg}\} & \to & \{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}\text{-g-alg}\}\\ A & \mapsto & A_V\end{array}\ .$$

Example 12.3. Let V be a graded vector space with homogeneous basis (e_2,e_4) with e_i of degree i. Then $S[16]_V=S[18]\otimes S[20]$.

12.2. **The twisting spectral sequence.** Let us denote by E_s the graded vector space $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(I^{(s)}, I^{(s)})$. It is one dimensional in cohomological degrees $2i$, for $0 \le i < p^s$ and zero everywhere else, see e.g. [FS, Thm 4.5] or [T2, Cor 4.7]. If $G \in \mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$, the parameterized functor G_{E_s} inherits from E_s a cohomological grading, as explained in section 12.1. We denote by $(G_{E_s})^j$ its homogeneous part of cohomological[7] degree j.

Recall from [T2, Thm 7.1] that for strict polynomial functors F, G with finite dimensional values and for $s \ge 0$, there is a 'twisting spectral sequence', natural with respect to F and G:

$$E^{i,j}(F,G,s) := \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F, (G_{E_s})^j) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{i+j}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(F^{(s)}, G^{(s)})\,.$$

Let us put the parameterized strict polynomial functor $G_{V^{(s)}}$ instead of G in the spectral sequence above. Since we have

$$(G_{V^{(s)}})^{(s)} = (G^{(s)})_V \;\text{ and }\; (G_{V^{(s)}})_{E_s} = G_{V^{(s)}\otimes E_s}\;,$$

the spectral sequence now takes the form:

$$E^{i,j}(F, G_{V^{(s)}}, s) = (\mathbb{E}^i(F,G)_{E_s})^{(s)\,j} \Longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+j}(F^{(s)}, G^{(s)})\;,$$

where for all $i \ge 0$, $(\mathbb{E}^i(F,G)_{E_s})^{(s)\,j}$ denotes the homogeneous part of cohomological degree j of the graded strict polynomial functor $(\mathbb{E}^i(F,G)_{E_s})^{(s)}$.

If G is a symmetric power, an exterior power, a divided power or a tensor product of these, it is proved in [T2, Thm 8.11] that the spectral sequence collapses at the second page.

Finally, it is proved in [T2, Thm 7.1] that the twisting spectral is compatible with tensor products and natural with respect to F and G. Thus, if X and Y are classical exponential functors and if $t \ge 0$, we can retrieve (up to a filtration) the convolution product of the abutment $\mathbb{E}(X^{(s+t)}, Y^{(s)})$ from the convolution product of the second page $(\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)}, Y)_{E_s})^{(s)}$. The following statement sums up our discussion.

Theorem 12.4. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of positive characteristic, let X, Y be classical exponential functors, and let t and s be nonnegative integers. Then $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)}, Y^{(s)})$ is a filtered $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra and there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:*

$$(\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)}, Y)_{E_s})^{(s)} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}\left(\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)}, Y^{(s)})\right)\,.$$

The algebra appearing on the left hand side of the isomorphism of theorem 12.4 is easy to compute with the help of corollary 11.2.

Example 12.5. **(1)** Let $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle$ denote a copy of the k-th Frobenius twist, with cohomological degree i (hence $I^{(k)}\langle i\rangle = I^{(k)}[-i]$). There is, up to a filtration, an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)}) \simeq \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s} I^{(t+s)}\langle (2i+1)p^t - 1\rangle\right)\,.$$

(2) Assume that $p = 2$. There is, up to a filtration, an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:

$$\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)}) \simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s} \bigoplus_{k\ge 0} I^{(k+t+s)}\langle (2i+1)p^{k+t} - 1\rangle\right)\,.$$

---

[7] As usual, we can convert cohomological degrees into homological degrees by the formula $M^i = M_{-i}$.

13. Splitting filtrations

This section is of independent interest: we prove general splitting results for filtrations of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras. We will use these results in section 14 to prove that the filtrations appearing in theorem 12.4 split.

13.1. **General facts on filtrations.** We begin with elementary observations about filtered functors.

Lemma 13.1. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and let F be a filtered strict polynomial functor over $\Bbbk$ with finite dimensional values.*

(i) *The filtration of F has finite length.*
(ii) *If $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(\mathrm{Gr}F,\mathrm{Gr}F)=0$, there is an isomorphism $F\simeq \mathrm{Gr}F$.*

Proof. To prove (i), observe that a filtration of F is equivalent to a filtration of the $S(\Bbbk^d,d)$-module $F(\Bbbk^d)$ where d is the strict polynomial degree of F. Hence the filtration is finite for dimension reasons. Let us prove (ii). Let $F_1\subset F_2\subset\cdots\subset F_n=F$ be the filtration of F. If $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(\mathrm{Gr}F,\mathrm{Gr}F)$ equals zero, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(F_1,F_2/F_1)$ equals zero. Hence the extension $F_1\hookrightarrow F_2\twoheadrightarrow F_2/F_1$ splits, that is $F_2\simeq F_1\oplus F_2/F_1$. In this way we build inductively an isomorphism $F\simeq\mathrm{Gr}F$. □

Without further indication, filtrations of $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras will always mean *bounded* filtrations (that is, on each homogenous component $A_{i,d}$ of the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra A, the filtration has finite length). For example, filtrations of exponential functors are always bounded (since the $E_{i,d}$ take finite dimensional values, this follows from lemma 13.1). Also, filtered $\mathcal{P}_k$-graded algebras appearing as abutments of first quadrant spectral sequences like the twisting spectral sequence from section 12 have bounded filtrations. In our study of filtered $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras, we shall use $(1,\epsilon)$-commutativity defined in section 4.2. The following lemma is an easy check.

Lemma 13.2. *Let A be a filtered $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra. If A is $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative, so is $\mathrm{Gr}A$.*

Finally, we observe that the exponential property behaves well with filtrations of algebras.

Lemma 13.3. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and let A be a filtered $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra. If $\mathrm{Gr}A$ is a graded exponential functor, then so is A.*

Proof. Consider $A(V)\otimes A(W)$ as a weighted graded $\Bbbk$-module with the tensor product filtration

$$F^i\big(A(V)\otimes A(W)\big)=\textstyle\sum_{k+\ell=i}F^kA(V)\otimes F^\ell A(W)\;.$$

The multiplication induces a map of weighted graded $\Bbbk$-modules $A(V)\otimes A(W)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{m}}A(V\oplus W)$ compatible with the filtrations. Since $\mathrm{Gr}A$ is exponential, the associated graded map $\mathrm{Gr}m$ is an isomorphism on each homogeneous part of degree i and weight k. The filtrations of the homogeneous part of degree i and weight d has finite length. So by iterated uses of the five lemma, the map $m:(A(V)\otimes A(W))_{i,k}\to A(V\oplus W)_{i,k}$ is also an isomorphism. Thus A is exponential. □

13.2. Splitting results.

13.2.1. *The odd characteristic case.*

Lemma 13.4. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of odd characteristic. Let m, n be positive integers. Let m, n be positive integers, let λ and α be m-tuples of nonnegative integers and let μ and β are n-tuples of nonnegative integers. Let G be a functor of the form $G = \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} S^{\lambda_i\,(\alpha_i)}\right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda^{\mu_i\,(\beta_i)}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(G,G) = 0$.*

Proof. By iterated uses of lemma 5.7 (or use [FFSS, Cor 1.8]), it suffices to check that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X,Y) = 0$ if X and Y are of the form $S^{\ell\,(r)}$ or $\Lambda^{m\,(s)}$.

We first check that it is true if the functors are not twisted: $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S^d, S^d) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Lambda^d, S^d) = 0$ by injectivity of S^d, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Lambda^d, \Lambda^d) = 0$ by remark 7.5, and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S^d, \Lambda^d) = 0$ by theorem 9.15. By proposition 11.1, Ext^1 also vanish if one of the two functors X, Y is twisted (indeed, the Ext-degree is shifted by an even integer since p is odd). Finally theorem 12.4 shows the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X,Y)$ in the general case (indeed, if A is a negatively graded $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebra which is zero in degree -1, then so is A_{E_s}). $\square$

Proposition 13.5 (Splitting result I). *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of odd characteristic, and let A be a filtered $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra. Assume that A is graded commutative and that* $\mathrm{Gr}A$ *has one of the following form:*

$$(i)\ S(F) \otimes \Lambda(G)\ , \quad (ii)\ \Gamma(F) \otimes \Lambda(G)\ ,$$

where F and G are graded strict polynomial functors which are additive. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $A \simeq \mathrm{Gr}A$.

Proof. The proof relies essentially on lemma 13.4 and the use of universal properties of $\mathrm{Gr}A$. We prove case (ii), which is slightly more difficult.

Step 1: splitting without products. For all i, d, the extension group $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(\mathrm{Gr}A_{i,d}, \mathrm{Gr}A_{i,d})$ equals $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(\mathrm{Gr}A^\sharp_{i,d}, \mathrm{Gr}A^\sharp_{i,d})$ by lemma 2.3, which equals zero by lemma 13.4. So we get an isomorphism of graded strict polynomial functors $A \simeq \mathrm{Gr}A$, whence a surjective map: $\phi : A \twoheadrightarrow F \oplus G$. There is an extra grading on $\mathrm{Gr}A$, namely the *filtration degree* (that is $Gr_k A$ is the homogeneous part of $\mathrm{Gr}A$ of filtration degree k). If we define a filtration on $\mathrm{Gr}A$ (hence on $F \oplus G$) by $\mathcal{F}_k \mathrm{Gr}A = \bigoplus_{i \le k} \mathrm{Gr}_i A$, then ϕ preserves the filtrations, and $\mathrm{Gr}\phi$ equals the canonical surjection $\mathrm{Gr}A \twoheadrightarrow F \oplus G$.

Step 2: coalgebra structures and universal property. Since F and G are additive, $\mathrm{Gr}A$ is a graded exponential functor. Thus, by lemma 13.3, A is a graded exponential functor. In particular, A also has a coalgebra structure. Since the filtration of A is natural and compatible with products, A is a filtered coalgebra, and $\mathrm{Gr}(A) = \Gamma(F) \otimes^\epsilon \Lambda(G)$ as a coalgebra.

Now $\mathrm{Gr}A$ is a graded commutative algebra. Hence $\Gamma(F)$ and $\Lambda(G)$ are graded commuttaive. Hence F (resp. G) is concentrated in even (resp. odd) degrees. Thus, $\Gamma(F) \otimes \Lambda(G)$ is the universal cofree graded commutative coalgebra on $F \oplus G$. Thus, by the universal property of the cofree algebra (see e.g. [LV, Chap. 1]) ϕ induces a map $\psi : A \to \Gamma(F) \otimes \Lambda(G)$.

Step 3: conclusion Let us consider the trivial filtration on $\Gamma(F) \otimes \Lambda(G)$ induced by the filtration $\mathcal{F}_k(F \otimes G)$ of $F \otimes G$ from Step 1. Then ψ preserves the filtration and by construction $\mathrm{Gr}\psi$ equals the identity map

Gr$A = \Gamma(F)\otimes\Lambda(G)$. In particular Gr$\psi$ is an isomorphism. So ψ is an isomorphism of coalgebras. Hence by lemma 5.4, ψ is an isomorphism of algebras. □

We also prove prove splitting results for $(1,1)$-commutative algebras. Recall the signed tensor product $\otimes^1$ defined in section 4.3.

Proposition 13.6 (Splitting result II)**.** *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a field of odd characteristic, and let* A *be a* $(1,1)$*-commutative filtered* $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-graded algebra over* $\Bbbk$*. Assume that* GrA *has one of the following forms*

$$(i)\ S(F)\otimes^1\Lambda(G)\ ,\quad (ii)\ {}^{\mathrm{t}}S(F)\otimes^1\Lambda(G)\ ,\quad (iii)\ S(F)\otimes^1{}^{\mathrm{t}}\Lambda(G)\ ,$$
$$(vi)\ \Gamma(F)\otimes^1\Lambda(G)\ ,\quad (v)\ {}^{\mathrm{t}}\Gamma(F)\otimes^1\Lambda(G)\ ,\quad (vi)\ \Gamma(F)\otimes^1{}^{\mathrm{t}}\Lambda(G)\ ,$$

where F *and* G *are graded strict polynomial functors which are additive. Then there is an isomorphism of* $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-graded algebras* $A\simeq$ GrA*.*

Proof. The idea is to use the regrading functor $\mathcal{R}_1$ (and taking the opposite algebra of applying the functor ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ if needed) to go back to the graded commutative case. Let us treat the case where Gr$A = S(F)\otimes\Lambda(G)$.

Since A is $(1,1)$-commutative, so is GrA, hence so are $S(F)$ and $\Lambda(G)$ by lemma 4.11. Thus F (resp. G) must be concentrated in odd (resp. even) degrees. Thus $\mathcal{R}_1A$ is graded commutative, with graded object

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{R}_1A)=\mathcal{R}_1(\mathrm{Gr}A)={}^{\mathrm{t}}S(\mathcal{R}_1F)\otimes\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_1G)\ .$$

Let us denote by B^{op} the opposite algebra of an algebra B. Applying ${}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ (which do not alter graded commutativity) we get a graded commutative algebra $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ with graded object $S(\mathcal{R}_1F)\otimes\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_1G)$. So by proposition 13.5, $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{R}_1A)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is isomorphic to $S(\mathcal{R}_1F)\otimes\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_1G)$. Applying ${}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{-1}$ to the isomorphism obtained, we get an isomorphism $A\simeq$ GrA. □

13.2.2. *The characteristic two case.* The following proposition is proved exactly in the same fashion as proposition 13.5.

Proposition 13.7 (Splitting result III)**.** *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a field of characteristic* 2*, and let* A *be a commutative filtered* $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-graded algebra. Assume that there is a graded strict polynomial functor* F *which is additive, such that* Gr$A = S(F)$ *or* Gr$A=\Gamma(F)$*. Then* $A\simeq$ GrA *as* $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-graded algebras.*

Proposition 13.7 will be sufficient to prove that the filtrations on the abutment of the twisting spectral sequence split in characteristic 2, except for the cases of $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)},S^{(s)})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)},\Lambda^{(s)})$. In these cases, the algebras are of exterior type. So we need a analogue of proposition 13.7 when Gr$A=\Lambda(F)$. Two difficulties arise when we want to adapt the proof of proposition 13.5 to this case. First, there might be non-trivial extensions between certain twisted exterior powers in characteristic 2 (e.g. the extension $\Lambda^2\hookrightarrow\Gamma^2\twoheadrightarrow\Lambda^{1\,(1)}$). Second, exterior algebras still satisfy a universal property in characteristic 2, but for strictly anticommutative algebras (recall that a $\Bbbk$-algebra is strictly anticommutative if for all $x\in A$, $x\cdot x=0$). So the best we can easily prove is the following statement.

Proposition 13.8 (Splitting result IV)**.** *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a field of characteristic* 2*, and let* A *be a strictly anticommutative filtered* $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$*-graded algebra. Assume* *that A is componentwise finite and that* $\mathrm{Gr}A$ *equals* $\Lambda(F)$*, where F is a graded strict polynomial functor of the following very specific form. There exists an integer r , such that for all i,d, $F_{i,d}$ is a direct sum of copies of* $I^{(r)}$. *Then* $A \simeq \mathrm{Gr}A$ *as* $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$*-graded algebras.*

Proof. The proof that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(\mathrm{Gr}A_{i,d}, \mathrm{Gr}A_{i,d})$ equals zero reduces, by iterated uses of lemma 5.7, to checking that for all $d \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(\Lambda^{d\,(r)}, \Lambda^{d\,(r)})$. But the latter fact follows from theorem 12.4 and the vanishing of $\mathbb{E}^1(\Lambda^d, \Lambda^d)$. So lemma 13.1 yields an isomorphism of bigraded strict polynomial functors $A \simeq \mathrm{Gr}A$. To get an isomorphism of algebras, we use the universal property of exterior algebras, exactly as in the third step of the proof of proposition 13.5, and we conclude the proof in the same fashion. □

14. FINAL RESULTS

14.1. **Statement of the results.** In this section, we describe the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}, Y^{(s)})$, for classical exponential functors X, Y. Duality yields an isomorphism of exponential functors $\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}, Y^{(s)}) \simeq \mathbb{E}(Y^{\sharp(s)}, X^{\sharp(r)})$, so we can restrict to the case $r \geq s$. Hence we write $r = t + s$, with $t \geq 0$. For the convenience of the reader, we first recall the notations and conventions needed to read the statements.

1. In the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebra:
$$\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)}, Y^{(s)}) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{i\geq 0, d\geq 0} \mathbb{E}^i(X^{d(s+t)}, Y^{p^t d(s)})\ ,$$
$\mathbb{E}^i(X^{d(s+t)}, Y^{p^t d(s)})$ has cohomological degree i and weight dp^{s+t}. The product is given by convolution, cf. definition 4.6.
2. The functors $I^{(r)}\langle h\rangle$ denote graded copies of the r-th Frobenius twist, having cohomological degree h (and weight p^r). If $X = S, \Lambda$ or Γ, and F is a graded functor, then $X(F)$ denotes the composite $X \circ F$, with the usual gradings. The tensor product $\otimes$ is the usual tensor product of graded algebras (i.e. weights don't bring any Koszul sign).
3. In particular, in the theorems below, the functors $I^{(x+s+t)}\langle h\rangle$ appearing on the right hand side of the isomorphisms are direct summands of the functors $\mathbb{E}^h(X^{p^x(s+t)}, Y^{p^{x+t}(s)})$.

Let us order the classical exponential functors from the 'more projective' to the 'more injective' one $\Gamma < \Lambda < S$. In theorems 14.1 and 14.2, we describe $\mathbb{E}(X^{(s+t)}, Y^{(s)})$ for pairs (X, Y) with $X \leq Y$.

Theorem 14.1 (Pairs (X, S))**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of positive characteristic p, and let s, t be positive integers. For all classical exponential functor X, there is an isomorphism of* $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$*-graded algebras:*
$$\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)}, S^{(s)}) \simeq X^{\sharp}\left(\bigoplus_{0\leq i<p^s} I^{(t+s)}\langle 2ip^t\rangle\right).$$

Theorem 14.2 (Pairs (X, Y) with $X \leq Y < S$)**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of positive characteristic p, and let s, t be positive integers. There are isomorphisms of*

$\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)},\Lambda^{(s)})\simeq \Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s} I^{(t+s)}\langle(2i+1)p^t-1\rangle\right)\;,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)},\Lambda^{(s)})\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s} I^{(t+s)}\langle(2i+1)p^t-1\rangle\right)\;,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)},\Gamma^{(s)})\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s} I^{(t+s)}\langle(2i+2)p^t-2\rangle\right)\;.$$

Now we turn to the pairs (X,Y), with $X>Y$. We describe the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebras $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)},Y^{(t)})$ in theorems 14.3, 14.4 and 14.5. These algebras were not computed in [FFSS], where the authors suspected that there are 'no easy answer' for such pairs. Our approach explains why Ext-groups for these pairs are much more difficult to compute. Indeed, for all pairs (X,Y), the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-algebra $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)},Y^{(t)})$ can be deduced from $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$. The latter are very easy to compute if $X\le Y$ (they reduce to Hom-groups), but far from being trivial if $X>Y$ (they correspond to the homology of some Eilenberg Mac Lane spaces). The extensions computed theorems 14.3, 14.4 and 14.5 were first described in [C2], and the two description do not coincide. We compare them in details in section 14.3.

Theorem 14.3 (Pairs (X,Y) with $X>Y$ for $p=2$)**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of characteristic $p=2$, and let s,t be positive integers. There are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras:*

$$\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)},\Lambda^{(s)})\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} I^{(k+t+s)}\langle(2i+1)p^{k+t}-1\rangle\right)\;,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)},\Gamma^{(s)})\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} I^{(k+t+s)}\langle(2i+2)p^{k+t}-p^k-1\rangle\right)\;,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)},\Gamma^{(s)})\simeq \Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k\,,\,0\le \ell} I^{(k+\ell+t+s)}\langle(2i+2)p^{k+\ell+t}-p^k-1\rangle\right)\;.$$

The computation of $\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)},\Lambda^{(s)})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)},\Gamma^{(s)})$ in odd characteristic brings special signs. Recall from section 4.3 that $A\otimes^1 B$ denotes the 'signed tensor product' of two $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras, and ${}^{\mathrm{t}}A$ denotes the weight twisted algebra associated to A (defined in section 6).

Theorem 14.4. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of odd characteristic p, and let s, t be positive integers. The $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ is isomorphic to:*

$$\Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} I^{(k+t+s)}\langle (2i+1)p^{k+t}-1\rangle\right)$$
$$\otimes^1 \ {}^{\mathrm{t}}\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} I^{(k+1+t+s)}\langle (2i+1)p^{k+1+t}-2\rangle\right)\ .$$

The $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, \Gamma^{(s)})$ is isomorphic to:

$$\Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} V^{(k+t+s)}\langle (2i+2)p^{k+t}-p^k-1\rangle\right)$$
$$\otimes^1 \ {}^{\mathrm{t}}\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} V^{(k+1+t+s)}\langle (2i+2)p^{k+1+t}-p^{k+1}-2\rangle\right)\ .$$

Theorem 14.5. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of odd characteristic p, and let s, t be positive integers. The $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(S^{(t+s)}, \Gamma^{(s)})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product:*

$$\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k} V^{(k+t+s)}\langle (2i+2)p^{k+t}-2\rangle\right)$$
$$\otimes\,\Lambda\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k\,,\,0\le \ell} V^{(k+\ell+1+t+s)}\langle (2i+2)p^{k+\ell+1+t}-2p^k-1\rangle\right)$$
$$\otimes\,\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_{0\le i<p^s\,,\,0\le k\,,\,0\le \ell} V^{(k+\ell+2+t+s)}\langle (2i+2)p^{k+\ell+2+t}-2p^{k+1}-2\rangle\right)$$

14.2. **Proof of theorems 14.1-14.5. Step 1.** We first compute the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebra $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$. There are two cases. If $X \le Y$, then $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$ reduces to $\mathbb{H}(X,Y)$ and is very easy to compute (see the introduction of the article). If $X > Y$, then $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$ is rather complicated, and computed in theorems 9.15 and 9.16. In all cases, $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$ is a symmetric, an exterior or a divided power algebra (or a tensor product of these) on some generators $V^{(b)}\langle a\rangle$ which are copies of the functor $V^{(b)}$, (with weight p^b and cohomological degree a).

Step 2. By corollary 11.2, $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha(Y)}\mathbb{E}(X,Y))^{(t)}$. Under this isomorphism, each generator $V^{(b)}\langle a\rangle$ of $\mathbb{E}(X,Y)$ corresponds to a generator $V^{(b+t)}\langle a+p^b\alpha(Y)\rangle$ of $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$, where $\alpha(S)=0$, $\alpha(\Lambda)=p^t-1$ and $\alpha(\Gamma)=2(p^t-1)$. Observe that $\alpha(Y)$ is even in odd characteristic, so that no additional sign is introduced by the regrading functor $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha(Y)}$.

Step 3. By theorem 12.4, $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t+s)},Y^{(s)})$ is, up to a filtration, an algebra of the same kind as $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$, with more generators. To be more specific, each generator $V^{(b+t)}\langle a+p^b\alpha(Y)\rangle$ of $\mathbb{E}(X^{(t)},Y)$ gives birth to a family of generators (indexed by an integer i, with $0 \leq i < p^s$):

$$V^{(b+t+s)}\langle a + p^b\alpha(Y^*) + 2ip^{b+t}\rangle = V^{(b+t+s)}\langle (2ip^t + \alpha(Y))p^b + a\rangle .$$

Step 4. Finally, we have to prove that the filtrations involved are trivial. This follows directly from the splitting results of section 13, except in the cases of $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, S^{(s)})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ when $\Bbbk$ has characteristic 2. So let us assume that all the results but these two cases are proved. To prove the triviality of the filtrations of $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, S^{(s)})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$, we want to apply proposition 13.8. For this we need to check strict anticommutativity. So the following lemma finishes the proof.

Lemma 14.6. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of characteristic 2. For all nonnegative integers s, t, the $\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, S^{(s)})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ are strictly anticommutative (that is, for all x, $x \cdot x = 0$ in these algebras).*

Proof. Let us prove that $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ is strictly anticommutative (the proof for $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, S^{(s)})$ is similar). Since $\Bbbk$ has characteristic 2, we have an injective morphism of algebras $\alpha : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$. It induces a morphism:

$$\beta : \mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)}) \to \mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)}) \ .$$

To prove that $\mathbb{E}(\Gamma^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ is strictly anticommutative, it suffices to prove that β is injective and that $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ is strictly anticommutative.

We already know that $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{(s)})$ since it is a divided power algebra.

Let us prove that β is injective. For all $d \geq 0$, we have a commutative square, where the vertical arrows are injections induced by the canonical map $\Lambda^d \hookrightarrow \otimes^d$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{H}(\Gamma^d, \otimes^d) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{H}(\alpha{,}\otimes^d)"] & \mathbb{H}(\Lambda^d, \otimes^d) \ . \\
\mathbb{H}(\Gamma^d, \Lambda^d) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{H}(\alpha{,}\Lambda^d)"] \arrow[u, hook] & \mathbb{H}(\Lambda^d, \Lambda^d) \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now the map $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \otimes^d)$ is an isomorphism (by lemma 5.5), so $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \Lambda^d)$ is injective. Using proposition 11.1, we get an injection $\mathbb{H}(\Gamma^{d\,(t)}, \Lambda^{dp^t}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\Lambda^{d\,(t)}, \Lambda^{dp^t})$. By theorem 12.4, $\mathrm{Gr}(\beta)$ equals the evaluation of this map on $E_s \otimes V^{(s)}$, hence it is injective. We conclude the injectivity of β. $\square$

14.3. **Comparison with pre-existing results.** Our computations give explicit but quite complicated results. So it is useful to examine the reliability of our results, by comparing with elementary computations, or with computations previously obtained by other authors (which have used very different techniques for their computations).

14.3.1. *The case $X \leq Y$.* For pairs of classical exponential functors with $X \leq Y$, the graded algebras $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(X^{*\,(s+t)}, Y^{*\,(s)}) = \mathbb{E}(X, Y)(\Bbbk)$ were first computed in [FFSS, Thm 5.8]. We easily check that theorems 14.1 and 14.2 agree with this. For example, theorem 14.2 asserts that $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda, \Lambda)(\Bbbk)$ is a divided power algebra on generators $g_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^{(2i+1)p^t-1}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(I^{(t+s)}, \Lambda^{p^t\,(s)})$, for $0 \leq i < p^s$. This is exactly [FFSS, Thm 5.8(6)].

Let us also mention that theorem 14.1 is actually a special case of [T2, Cor. 5.8] which computes the $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded algebras $\mathbb{E}(C^{(s+t)}, S^{(t)})$ for all $\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}$-graded coalgebra C.

14.3.2. *The case $X > Y$: Elementary considerations.* Let us examine the case of classical exponential functors with $X \le Y$. The first striking fact is the difference between odd characteristic and even characteristic. In characteristic 2 we have only divided power algebras whereas in odd characteristic, exterior algebras appear. For example $\mathbb{H}(S, \Lambda)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{E}(S, \Lambda)$ generated by elements of degree 0, hence by theorems 14.3 and 14.4:

$$\mathbb{H}(S^d, \Lambda^d) \simeq \Lambda^d \text{ if } p > 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}(S^d, \Lambda^d) \simeq \Gamma^d \text{ if } p = 2 \ .$$

This phenomenon is confirmed by the following elementary computation. Using the exact sequence $\Lambda^2 \hookrightarrow \otimes^2 \twoheadrightarrow S^2$, one sees that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{\Bbbk}}(S^2, \Lambda^2) = \mathbb{H}(S^2, \Lambda^2)(\Bbbk)$ is a zero $\Bbbk$-vector space if $\Bbbk$ has characteristic $p > 2$, and is one dimensional in characteristic 2, generated by the map $S^2(V) \to \Lambda^2(V)$, $vw \mapsto v \wedge w$ (which is well defined in characteristic 2 only).

Next, one could wonder about the signs arising in theorem 14.4. Do we really need the signed tensor products $\otimes^1$? The answer is affirmative: if we replaced $\otimes^1$ by the ordinary tensor product, then the resulting algebra would not be $(1,1)$-commutative. Indeed, in an algebra of the form $\Lambda(A) \otimes \Gamma(B)$, or ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\Lambda(A) \otimes \Gamma(B)$, or $\Lambda(A) \otimes {}^{\mathrm{t}}\Gamma(B)$, where A is concentrated in even degrees and odd weights, and B is concentrated in odd degrees and odd weights, if there are two non proportional elements $a_1, a_2 \in A$, we can choose $b \in B$ and form the products $x = a_1 \otimes b$ and $y = a_2 \otimes \gamma_2(b)$, where $\gamma_2(b) = b \otimes b \in \Gamma^2(B)$. Then one has

$$x \cdot y = (a_1 \wedge a_2) \otimes b\gamma_2(b) = -(a_2 \wedge a_1) \otimes \gamma_2(b)b = -y \cdot x \ .$$

Since $x \cdot y \neq 0$, this contradicts $(1,1)$-commutativity. In fact, we easily check that all our formulas yield $(1,\epsilon)$-commutative algebras, with the required ϵ.

14.3.3. *The case $X > Y$: Comparison with* [C2]. The reader can observe that the results of theorems 14.3, and 14.4 do not agree with [C2, Thm 3.2].

In characteristic 2, the two results agree as wg-$\Bbbk$-algebras, but the functoriality is different. For example, [C2, Thm 3.2] asserts that $\mathbb{H}(S^2, \Lambda^2) = \Lambda^2 \oplus I^{(1)}$ in characteristic 2, while we assert that it equals Γ^2. The following elementary computation argues in favor of our result. First, we have $\mathbb{E}^i(S^2, I^{(1)}) = \mathbb{E}^i(I^{(1)}, \Gamma^2) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ (this follows from [FS, Prop 4.4] and the long $\mathbb{E}(I^{(1)}, -)$-exact sequence induced by $\Lambda^2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma^2 \twoheadrightarrow I^{(1)}$, or alternatively from proposition 11.1). So, the short exact sequence $I^{(1)} \hookrightarrow S^2 \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda^2$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}(S^2, \Lambda^2) \simeq \mathbb{H}(S^2, S^2) \simeq \mathbb{H}(\Gamma^2, \Gamma^2) \simeq \Gamma^2$.

In odd characteristic, the two results agree as graded functors, but the description of the products are only equal up to signs. As explained in section 14.3.2, the signed tensor product $\otimes^1$ which we use is necessary to ensure that the result is actually a $(1,1)$-commutative algebra.

Finally, the result of theorem 14.5 does not agree with [C2, Cor 4.15]. To be more specific, the two results look the same, but in our computation, the resulting algebra has more generators. For example, theorem 14.5 asserts that $\mathbb{E}(S^p, \Gamma^p)$ is equal to $\Gamma^p\langle 0\rangle \oplus I^{(1)}\langle 2p-2\rangle \oplus I^{(1)}\langle 2p-3\rangle$, so the total dimension of $\mathbb{E}(S^p, \Gamma^p)(\Bbbk)$ is 3. On the other hand, [C2, Cor 4.15] predicts that this total dimension is 2. So the following independent elementary computation argues in favour of our result.

Lemma 14.7. *If* $\Bbbk$ *has characteristic* p*, then* $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, \Gamma^p)$ *equals* $\Bbbk$ *if* $i = 0, 2p-2$ *or* $2p-3$*, and is zero otherwise.*

Proof. We need the following elementary facts. For $0 \leq k < p$, the functor $\Lambda^k \otimes S^{p-k}$ is injective as a direct summand of $\otimes^k \otimes S^{p-k}$, and Γ^k is injective since it is isomorphic to S^k. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, S^p) = \Bbbk && \text{with basis the identity map,} \\ &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, S^1 \otimes S^{p-1}) = \Bbbk && \text{with basis the comultiplication,} \\ &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, \Lambda^k \otimes S^{p-k}) = 0 && \text{for } k \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove lemma 14.7, we cut the exact Koszul complexes:

$$\Lambda^p \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{p-1} \otimes S^1 \to \cdots \to \Lambda^1 \otimes S^{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow S^p$$
$$\Gamma^p \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{p-1} \otimes \Lambda^1 \to \cdots \to \Gamma^1 \otimes \Lambda^{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda^p$$

into short exact sequences and analyze the long $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, -)$-exact sequence associated to them. We begin on the right with the first complex. Let K be the kernel of the multiplication $S^1 \otimes S^{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow S^p$. Since the composite of the comultiplication $S^p \to S^1 \otimes S^{p-1}$ and the multiplication $S^1 \otimes S^{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow S^p$ equals p times the identity map (hence zero), we obtain that $\mathrm{Ext}^i(S^p, K) \simeq \Bbbk$ if $i = 0, 1$ and 0 otherwise. For the other short exact sequences except the one involving Γ^p, the terms $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, \Lambda^k \otimes S^{p-k})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, \Lambda^k \otimes \Gamma^{p-k})$ are trivial so the long exact sequence induces a shifting. Finally the last short exact sequence $\Gamma^p \hookrightarrow \Gamma^1 \otimes \Gamma^{p-1} \twoheadrightarrow C$ induces a shifting and also creates a nonzero element in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_\Bbbk}(S^p, \Gamma^p)$. □

References

[A] K. Akin, Extensions of symmetric tensors by alternating tensors. J. Algebra 121 (1989), no. 2, 358–363.

[BMT] L. Breen, R. Mikhailov, A. Touzé, work in progress.

[Br] K.S. Brown, Cohomology of groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. x+306 pp.

[Car] H. Cartan, Séminaire Henri Cartan de l'École Normale supérieure, 1954/1955. Algèbres d'Eilenberg Mac Lane et homotopie, Secrétariat mathématique, 11 rue Pierre Curie, Paris, 1955 (French). Available online on www.numdam.org

[C1] M. Chałupnik, Extensions of strict polynomial functors. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 5, 773–792.

[C2] M. Chałupnik, Koszul duality and extensions of exponential functors. Adv. Math. 218 (2008), no. 3, 969–982.

[CPSvdK] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, W. van der Kallen, Rational and generic cohomology. Invent. Math. 39 (1977), no. 2, 143–163.

[D] G. Decker, The integral homology algebra of an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space, Ph.D. Thesis, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1974, (under the direction of S. Mac Lane).

[EML] S. Eilenberg, S. Mac Lane, On the groups of $H(\Pi, n)$. I. Ann. of Math. (2) 58, (1953). 55–106.

[Eis] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. xvi+785 pp.

[FFSS] V. Franjou, E. Friedlander, A. Scorichenko, A. Suslin, General linear and functor cohomology over finite fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 150 (1999), no. 2, 663–728.

[F] E. Friedlander, Lectures on the cohomology of finite group schemes. Rational representations, the Steenrod algebra and functor homology, 27–53, Panor. Synthèses, 16, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003.

[FS] E. Friedlander, A. Suslin, Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), 209–270.

[J] J.C. Jantzen, Representations of algebraic groups. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 107. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xiv+576 pp.

[LV] J.L. Loday, B. Valette, Algebraic operads, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Volume 346, Springer-Verlag (2012).

[ML] S. Mac Lane, Homology. Reprint of the 1975 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. x+422 pp.

[P] T. Pirashvili, Introduction to functor homology. Rational representations, the Steenrod algebra and functor homology, 27–53, Panor. Synthèses, 16, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003.

[SFB] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), no. 3, 693–728.

[T1] A. Touzé, Cohomology of classical algebraic groups from the functorial viewpoint, Adv. in Math. 225(1), 33–68, 2010.

[T2] A. Touzé, Troesch complexes and the twisting spectral sequence, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 45 (2012), no. 1.

[W] C . Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp.